

RPX CORP

FORM 10-K (Annual Report)

Filed 03/11/13 for the Period Ending 12/31/12

Address	ONE MARKET PLAZA STEUART TOWER, SUITE 700 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
Telephone	866-779-7641
CIK	0001509432
Symbol	RPXC
SIC Code	6794 - Patent Owners and Lessors
Industry	Misc. Financial Services
Sector	Financial
Fiscal Year	12/31

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

Commission File Number: 001-35146

RPX Corporation

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

26-2990113
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**ONE MARKET PLAZA SUITE 800
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105**
(Address of Principal Executive Offices and Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (866) 779-7641

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.0001 Par Value	The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES NO

[Table of Contents](#)

The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$337.1 million as of June 30, 2012, which is the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, based upon the closing sale price on The NASDAQ Global Select Market reported for such date. Shares of common stock held by each officer and director and by each person that owned 5 percent or more of the Registrant's outstanding Common Stock were excluded due to the fact that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

There were 51,464,676 shares of the registrant's common stock issued and outstanding as of February 28, 2013.

Documents Incorporated by Reference:

Portions of the Definitive Proxy Statement for registrant's Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Proxy Statement"), are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K to the extent stated herein. The Proxy Statement will be filed within 120 days of the registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 .

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	<u>1</u>
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	8
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	20
Item 2. Properties	20
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	20
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	20
<u>PART II</u>	<u>21</u>
Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	21
Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data	22
Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	32
Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	33
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	63
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	63
Item 9B. Other Information	64
<u>PART III</u>	<u>64</u>
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	64
Item 11. Executive Compensation	64
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	64
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	64
Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	64
<u>PART IV</u>	<u>65</u>
Item 15. Exhibits and Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules	65
SIGNATURES	66
EXHIBITS	68

PART I.

Item 1. Business

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains “forward-looking statements” that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions which, if they never materialize or prove incorrect, could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are not purely historical are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Forward-looking statements are often identified by the use of words such as, but not limited to, “anticipate,” “believe,” “can,” “continue,” “could,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “will,” “plan,” “project,” “seek,” “should,” “target,” “will,” “would,” and similar expressions or variations intended to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our business strategies and business model, products, and benefits to our clients. These statements are based on the beliefs and assumptions of our management based on information currently available. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause actual results and the timing of certain events to differ materially from future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those identified below, and those discussed in the section titled “Risk Factors” included in Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Furthermore, such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

Overview

RPX Corporation (together with its subsidiaries, “RPX”, “the Company”, “our”, “we” or “us”) was incorporated on July 15, 2008 in the state of Delaware. We help companies reduce patent-related risk and expense. Products and services in today’s economy increasingly incorporate innovative and complex technologies that are subject to a growing number of issued patents. As a result of this technology and patent proliferation, companies of all sizes can face significant challenges managing the risks and expenses arising from claims that their products and services infringe on patents owned by others.

We believe the process by which value is transferred from users to owners of patents lacks key attributes of more developed markets, such as an open exchange to execute transactions, transparent pricing information and broadly accepted standard contract terms. Because an orderly and efficient market for the exchange of patent value has yet to develop, this transfer of value is currently driven by litigation or the threat of litigation. Patent litigation today is a multi-billion dollar industry.

Our patent risk management solution facilitates more efficient exchanges of value between owners and users of patents compared to transactions driven by actual or threatened litigation. The core of our solution is defensive patent aggregation, in which we acquire patents and licenses to patents that are being or may be asserted against our current and prospective clients. We then license these patent assets to our clients to protect them from potential patent infringement assertions. We believe our solution allows clients to mitigate patent risk at a lower cost than they would be able to achieve through other approaches. We also provide our clients with access to our proprietary patent market intelligence and data. We refer to patents, licenses to patents and acquired covenants not to sue specific companies collectively as “patent assets.”

Our solution offers the following benefits to our clients:

- *Reduced Risk of Patent Litigation* – Clients reduce their exposure to patent litigation because we continuously assess patent assets available for sale and acquire many that are being or may be asserted against our clients or potential clients. Our clients have no litigation risk related to the patent assets that we own.
- *Cost-Effective Licenses* – Our annual subscription fee is typically based on a client’s historical financial results. Accordingly, our subscription fee is predictable for our clients. We believe our approach to pricing is different than the pricing strategies of traditional patent licensing businesses, which generally negotiate license fees based on the perceived relevance of their various patent portfolios to each licensee. We believe our approach to pricing also provides clients with non-exclusive license rights to our large and growing portfolio of patent assets at a lower cost than they would have paid if these patent assets were owned by other entities.
- *Reduced Patent Risk Management Costs* – Clients can reduce their ongoing patent risk management costs by supplementing their internal resources with our database of information and extensive transaction experience relating to the patent market. We actively monitor the patent market to understand the availability of patent assets for sale or license, the identity of the owners and licensors of these assets, the terms by which they may be available and the technologies to which these assets apply. We also track relevant litigation activity and identify key participants and trends in the patent

market. As part of their subscription, our clients have access to this information through our proprietary web portal and through discussions with our client relations team.

In 2012, we started to offer NPE Patent Infringement Liability Insurance, which is a liability insurance policy for US-based operating companies that covers certain costs associated with patent infringement lawsuits by non-practicing entities (“NPEs”). The insurance product complements our core defensive patent acquisition service, enabling policyholders, who must be members of our client network, to better manage the risk of NPE patent litigation.

The Market

The United States Constitution empowers Congress “to promote the progress of science and the useful arts by securing for limited times to authors and inventors the exclusive right to their respective writings and discoveries” through the grant of patents. Patent rights are a key component of a knowledge-based economy and are assets that can be bought, sold or licensed. We refer to the market in which participants exchange value related to patents as the “patent market.”

Patent litigation risk plagues operating companies of all sizes and has the potential to significantly disrupt business activities and distract from normal business operations. Both large and small companies can be affected by major verdicts or high settlement costs. Smaller companies may also find that the expense associated with defending against a patent assertion can have a significant adverse financial impact. We believe the extensive use of litigation and the threat of litigation prevents efficient transactions between the principal participants in the market: patent owners and operating companies.

Monetization of Patents

Historically, the following fundamental attributes of patents have enabled patent monetization:

- *Patents Provide a Limited Monopoly* – In exchange for public disclosure of an invention, a patent owner is granted a monopoly over the use of a patented invention for a specified period, typically 20 years. Patent rights are negative rights, meaning that they generally enable a patent owner to exclude others from commercial exploitation of a patented invention, regardless of whether the patent owner has the resources to manufacture or commercialize the invention.
- *Patents Confer Negative Rights* – As the owner of a negative right, a patent owner has recourse through litigation to prevent others from using, making or selling the patented invention. Even when the patented invention is only a component of a broader product or service, the negative right can be enforced against any product or service that incorporates the component.
- *Patents May Be Licensed* – A patent owner can authorize use of the patented invention by one or more parties, typically in exchange for licensing fees.
- *Patents Are Assets That Can Be Transferred* – A patent can be sold, in which case the negative right and monopoly associated with the patented invention are transferred to the buyer. When a patent is sold, the buyer’s negative rights are constrained by licenses granted by previous owners.

More recently, several developments have increased opportunities for patent monetization and created an environment that is more favorable to investing in patents for the purpose of generating financial returns. These developments include:

- *Improved Search Capabilities* – The entire database of United States patents is now searchable on the Internet, enabling patent investors to quickly identify patents and their owners. The Internet also makes it much easier for patent owners to identify and research products and services that may relate to their patents.
- *Increasing Rate of Issuance of Technology Patents* – Patents issued with class code identifiers that we classify as technology-related patents have more than doubled in the past 10 years.
- *Overlap of Technology Patents* – Because inventors can patent incremental improvements to existing inventions, multiple patents can apply to individual components of a product or service. Consequently, multiple patent owners may seek to extract license fees related to a single product or service. One example of this overlap of patents is semiconductor technology known as DRAM. Today, there are several thousand issued United States patents with “DRAM” specifically listed as a claim element. These DRAM patents span design, fabrication, testing and component technology including dies, capacitors, memory cells, transistors, integrated circuits, substrates and packaging. Each of those aspects may be covered by multiple patents that could be infringed by a DRAM semiconductor device or downstream product. Potential infringement of these patents could occur by anyone who makes, uses or sells a product using this technology.
- *Technology Convergence* – Modern products and services incorporate numerous technology components. The evolution of mobile devices provides an example. This growth can be attributed to the expanded set of features and functionality incorporated in today’s smartphones, including touchscreens, Internet access, streaming video, media playback, application store readiness and other web-based services, and WiFi connectivity options.

- *More Companies Employing Patented Technologies* – A growing number of companies, including non-technology companies, make, use and sell products or services that utilize patented inventions. For example, consumer banks now offer online bill pay as a standard feature, which relies on complex technologies that may be subject to many patents.
- *Specialized Appellate Court for Patent Cases* – The United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit was created in 1982 to serve as the central appellate venue for patent-related cases. We believe this centralization of patent-related appeals has resulted in a more uniform application of patent law. In addition, various federal district courts have adopted patent-specific rules of procedure to facilitate patent litigation. These factors have created a more attractive environment for patent assertions.

All of these developments have caused significant capital to flow to companies specifically formed to acquire and monetize patent assets.

Emergence and Growth of NPEs

NPEs, do not create or sell products or services, but instead exist to monetize patents through licensing and litigation. Some NPEs obtain patents through their own research and development efforts, while others accumulate patents through acquisitions. NPEs have become a major factor in the patent market and an important source of liquidity for patent owners.

Operating companies can incur significant costs to defend themselves against patent assertions by NPEs. At a minimum, companies faced with an assertion typically respond to the assertion letter and evaluate the patents being asserted. If the assertion proceeds to trial, costs grow substantially. NPEs generally do not create or sell their own products or services and therefore are not susceptible to counter assertion, a common defensive strategy in patent disputes between operating companies.

We believe that the amount of capital raised by NPEs is currently in the billions of dollars. Some of the large awards and settlements received by NPEs have resulted in extensive media coverage, contributing to a significant influx of capital into the patent market. To date we have identified in excess of 1,000 unique NPE plaintiffs that have been active since 2005. In addition, many individual inventors and universities are also using litigation to monetize patents.

Our Solution and Benefits to Our Clients

We have pioneered an approach to help operating companies mitigate and manage patent risk and expense by serving as an intermediary through which they can participate more efficiently in the patent market. Operating companies that join our network pay an annual subscription fee and gain access to our patent risk management solution. The subscription fee is typically based on a fee schedule that is tied to a client's revenue or operating income and remains in place over the life of a membership, with adjustments limited to Consumer Price Index increases. By offering a fee schedule that does not change based on our patent asset acquisitions, we divorce the amount of fees charged from the value of our patent assets. We believe our pricing structure creates an alignment of interests with our clients, allowing us to be a trusted intermediary for operating companies in the patent market.

Defensive Patent Aggregation

The core of our solution is defensive patent aggregation, in which we acquire patent assets that are being or may be asserted against our current and prospective clients. We then license these assets to our clients to protect them from potential patent infringement assertions. We acquire patent assets from multiple parties, including operating companies, individual inventors, NPEs and bankruptcy trustees. We also acquire patent assets in different contexts, including when they are made available for sale or license by their owners or to resolve threatened or pending litigation against our clients or prospective clients.

We have not asserted and will not assert our patents. We have never initiated patent infringement litigation, and our clients receive guarantees that we will never assert patents against them. We consider this guarantee to be of paramount importance in establishing trust with our clients. In addition, because we have minimal risk from infringement claims, we are able to engage in more transparent discussions regarding the value of patent assets with patent owners. Our ability to engage in transparent discussions with both operating companies and patent owners allows us to act as an effective intermediary between participants in the patent market. As a result, we provide a conduit through which value can flow between market participants at lower transaction costs than is typically the case when patents are monetized through litigation or the threat of litigation.

As a part of our solution, we provide extensive patent market intelligence and data to our clients. Clients can access this market intelligence and data through our proprietary web portal and through discussions with our client relations team. This market intelligence and data helps our clients better understand past and potential patent acquisition transactions, relevant litigation activity and key participants and trends in the patent market.

Benefits to Our Clients

In general, operating companies join our network to reduce their risk of patent litigation and the expected costs associated with patent risk management. In exchange for an annual subscription fee, which in some instances has been less than the costs associated with a single patent assertion, our clients gain access to the following benefits:

- *Reduced Risk of Patent Litigation* – Clients reduce their exposure to patent litigation because we continuously assess patent assets available for sale and acquire many that are being or may be asserted against our clients or potential clients. Our clients have no litigation risk related to the patent assets that we own.
- *Cost-Effective Licenses* – Our annual subscription fee is typically based on a client’s historical financial results. We believe our approach is different than the pricing strategies of traditional patent licensing businesses, which generally negotiate license fees based on the perceived relevance of their various patent portfolios to each licensee. We believe our approach to pricing also provides clients with non-exclusive license rights to our large and growing portfolio of patent assets at a lower cost than they would have paid if these patent assets were owned by other entities.
- *Reduced Patent Risk Management Costs* – Clients can reduce their ongoing patent risk management costs by supplementing their internal resources with our database of information and extensive transaction experience relating to the patent market. We actively monitor the patent market to understand the availability of patent assets for sale or license, the identity of the owners and licensors of these assets, the terms by which they may be available and the technologies to which these assets apply. We also track relevant litigation activity and identify key participants and trends in the patent market. As part of their subscription, our clients have access to this information through our proprietary web portal and through discussions with our client relations team.

Our Strategy

Our mission is to transform the patent market by establishing RPX as the essential intermediary between patent owners and operating companies. Our strategy includes the following:

- *Growing Our Client Network* – We intend to grow our client network by continuing to develop relationships with companies that have experienced NPE-initiated patent litigation and continuing to demonstrate the value of our patent risk management solution.
- *Acquiring Additional Patent Assets* – We intend to continue to acquire patent assets that are being or may be asserted against current and prospective clients and to increase our role and expertise in the patent market. We believe our disciplined approach to valuing and acquiring patent assets will allow us to continue to deploy our capital in an efficient and effective manner to maximize the patent risk management benefits to our clients.
- *Focusing on Client Relations* – We intend to continue to support our client relations team to ensure we deliver the highest levels of service and support to our clients, which we expect will drive client satisfaction and assist in our efforts to build trusting relationships with and retain clients as their subscription agreements come up for renewal.
- *Developing Proprietary Technology Solutions for Our Clients* – We intend to continue to enhance our proprietary web portal to provide our clients with the most current intelligence and data on patent acquisition opportunities, relevant litigation activity and key market participants and trends that affect their patent risk exposure.
- *Offering NPE Insurance* – We offer and have written insurance policies for clients interested in additional management of their exposure to patent infringement claims brought by NPEs. We have concentrated our sales efforts on small and medium enterprises and we require that policyholders also subscribe to our core defensive patent acquisition service.
- *Providing Complementary Solutions* – We believe we can generate additional sources of revenue by offering complementary solutions that further mitigate patent risks and expenses for operating companies, including the facilitation of joint defense agreements and cross-licensing arrangements. A joint defense agreement is an agreement among multiple defendants in a lawsuit to appoint one legal counsel or group of legal counsel to represent multiple defendants. A cross-licensing arrangement is an agreement among two or more parties to license some or all of their patent portfolios to each other.
- *Enhancing Our Capabilities Through Acquisitions* – We occasionally evaluate the potential acquisition of businesses and technologies that can enhance our capabilities and our patent risk management solution.

Our Client Network

We have built a network of clients that includes some of the world’s most prominent technology companies, as well as many smaller and emerging companies. Our client network has grown rapidly since our inception. As of December 31, 2012, we had 140 clients.

We believe our patent risk management solution is broadly applicable to companies that design, make or sell technology-based products and services as well as to companies that use technology in their businesses. Our clients are active in a broad range of industries including consumer electronics, personal computers, e-commerce, financial services, software, media content and distribution, mobile communications and handsets, networking and semiconductors.

New Client Acquisitions

Our membership team identifies potential clients by prioritizing operating companies that have been subject to patent infringement claims initiated by NPEs. The membership team is responsible for educating potential clients on the benefits of our solution and explaining how our solution mitigates patent risk and expense. After we have communicated our business model to a prospective client, we invest considerable resources learning about the company's business, providing information about the patent market and developing a relationship of trust with the executives responsible for patent-related matters. We also proactively monitor litigation activity related to each of our clients and certain prospective clients to help us direct our patent asset acquisition and membership sales efforts. In addition, we conduct a variety of marketing efforts to establish ourselves as a leading source of information in the patent market, including industry conferences and seminars, public relations and industry research.

Client Retention and Client Relations

After a company has become a client, the maintenance of the relationship is handled by our client relations team. One of the primary responsibilities of our client relations team is to maintain frequent dialogue with senior executives of our clients so we can better understand their patent risk profiles. Our continued success and our ability to retain clients depend on our ability to demonstrate that our patent risk management solution enables clients to avoid costs that, in aggregate, exceed their subscription fees. We refer to this concept as return on investment, or ROI.

Our client relations team also provides clients with patent market intelligence, updates on our patent asset acquisitions and assessments of the ROI delivered over the term of their memberships. We provide this information through direct discussions with our clients and also share information with them through our proprietary web portal. We believe our frequent interactions allow us to optimize our patent asset acquisition decisions, which lead to a more compelling ROI for our clients, thus supporting our client retention efforts.

Patent Asset Portfolio and Patent Asset Acquisition

We acquire patent assets that are being or may be asserted against current or prospective clients. As of December 31, 2012, we had deployed over \$620 million of our capital and the capital of our clients to acquire patent assets. Of this amount, deployment of our capital totaled approximately \$400 million. Since inception, approximately two-thirds of our acquisition capital has been deployed for the purchase of patent rights and the balance deployed for the purchase of patents. Acquisitions of patent rights generally benefit only those operating companies which are clients at the time of the acquisition, whereas acquisitions of patents benefit both current and future clients. As of December 31, 2012, approximately 25% of our patent assets, based upon acquisition price, had been acquired from brokers or other entities seeking to sell patent assets, while the balance was acquired out of litigation. The Company's patent asset acquisition efforts have been broadly diversified across the following market sectors: consumer electronics, personal computers, e-commerce, financial services, software, media content and distribution, mobile communications and handsets, networking and semiconductors.

The substantial majority of our 120 acquisitions through December 31, 2012 involved patent assets that we believed were relevant to multiple clients and/or prospective clients and were funded with our own capital resources. We occasionally identify patent assets that cost more than we are prepared to spend of our own capital resources or that may be relevant only to a very small number of clients. In these circumstances, we may structure and coordinate a transaction in which certain of our clients contribute funds that are in addition to their subscription fees in order to acquire those patent assets. These structured acquisitions may secure rights just for those clients who elect to participate in the transaction or, if we contribute capital, may secure rights for all of our clients.

We apply a disciplined and proprietary methodology to valuing patents that is based primarily on our judgment regarding the costs our clients might incur from potential assertions of those patents if we were not to acquire them. A number of factors are involved in our valuation methodology, including the degree to which patent claims may describe technologies incorporated in clients' products or services, the revenues our clients generate from products or services potentially affected by the patents, the extent to which the patents would be attractive to NPEs, and the legal quality of the patents and their likely validity. As part of our approach, we also consider the degree to which we have already acquired patent assets that were being or may be asserted against each of our clients.

Because each acquisition of a patent asset may create value for more than one client, we believe our acquisitions of patent assets create a network effect: expanding our portfolio of patent assets results in greater patent risk mitigation for our clients, which we believe leads to greater opportunities to retain and grow our membership base.

Our patent analysts, members of our acquisitions team and our acquisitions committee employ a rigorous and disciplined approach to evaluate acquisition opportunities. When considering the acquisition of patent assets outside the context of an assertion or litigation, the key steps in this process include:

- *Initial Screen* – A patent analyst reviews the basic patent information to form a general assessment of the portfolio's alignment with current and prospective client interests.

[Table of Contents](#)

- *Detailed Review* – If the opportunity passes through the initial screen, a patent analyst reviews, analyzes and assesses the key independent claims of each patent being considered, materials provided by the seller and the possible assertion threat to our current and prospective clients. Our acquisitions team and patent analysts meet weekly to discuss and determine which potential acquisitions will advance to the next step of the evaluation process.
- *Valuation Analysis* – For potential acquisitions that pass the detailed review, our acquisitions team employs our proprietary valuation methodology to determine the maximum value at which we can support an acquisition.
- *Acquisitions Approval Committee Review* – The acquisitions approval committee, composed of members of our senior management, meets regularly to consider the acquisition of patent assets that have passed all prior screens. The committee reviews the patent and valuation analyses and considers the effect of the potential acquisition on our operating results prior to approving the pricing terms of any potential offer.
- *Negotiation and Closing* – Following the approval of a bid amount and other acquisition terms, the acquisitions team makes an offer and negotiates to close a transaction. Once there is agreement with the seller regarding price and other terms, the acquisitions team coordinates extensive confirmatory diligence, which may include the completion of a detailed title review.

In situations where patents are already being asserted or litigated against our current or prospective clients, the evaluation process begins with the second step, our detailed review. Depending on the value of the transaction, the complexity of the evaluation process, the number of patent assets in the portfolio and the quality of the information provided by the seller, the patent acquisition process can range from as short as several weeks to more than six months.

We believe our position as a leading acquirer of patent assets gives us extensive access and visibility into the patent market. We closely track patent assets that become available on the market and, as of December 31, 2012, we had reviewed more than 4,100 patent portfolios since our inception. We believe our position in the market gives us direct access to a diverse group of patent sources, including brokers, individuals, companies, universities and law firms, all of which are familiar with our approach and acquisition criteria. We believe this familiarity provides us early notice of patent portfolios that are entering the market.

Competition

In our efforts to attract new clients and retain existing clients, we compete primarily against established patent risk management strategies within those companies. Companies employ a variety of other strategies to attempt to manage their patent risk, including internal buying or licensing programs, cross-licensing arrangements, patent-buying consortiums or other patent-buying pools and engaging legal counsel to defend against patent assertions. As a result, we spend considerable resources educating our existing and prospective clients on the potential benefits of our solution and the value and cost savings it may provide.

In addition to competing for new clients, we also compete to acquire patent assets. Our primary competitors in the market for patent assets are other entities that seek to accumulate patent assets, including NPEs such as Acacia Research, Intellectual Ventures and IP Navigation. We also face competition for patent assets from operating companies, including current or potential clients of RPX, that seek to acquire patents or license patent assets in connection with new or existing product offerings.

We believe we compete favorably based on a number of factors:

- our alignment of interest and strong relationships with our clients resulting from our pricing structure and guarantee never to assert our patent assets against our clients;
- our ability to reduce the costs associated with patent market transactions by engaging in more transparent discussions based on the economic value of patent assets rather than discussions involving litigation or the threat of litigation;
- our ability to increase efficiency and expand our role in the patent market as our client network and capital available for patent asset acquisitions grows; and
- our extensive patent market expertise, relationships and transaction experience.

Nevertheless, many of our competitors and potential competitors have longer operating histories, greater name recognition, larger customer or membership bases and significantly greater financial, research, sales and marketing and other resources than we have. We expect to face additional competition from other established and emerging companies in the future.

We have pioneered a patent risk management solution focused on reducing patent-related risk and expense for operating companies. We believe we are the only for-profit company approaching patent risk management from this perspective. Our business model incorporates attributes that we believe provide us with key competitive strengths.

- *Alignment of Interests With Our Clients* – Our business model aligns our interests with those of our clients. We have not asserted and will not assert our patents. We generate revenue from subscription fees that are based on our published fee schedule rather than the value of the patent assets we acquire or the potential costs associated with defending against

assertions related to our patent assets. As a result, we have relationships with our clients that are based on trust, enabling them to communicate with us without concern that the information shared will be used against them.

- *Network Effect* – As we add new clients, we generate new subscription fees that can be used to fund additional acquisitions of patent assets. These acquisitions enable us to further add new clients and to deliver greater value to our existing clients.
- *More Transparent Valuation Discussions* – Most participants in the patent market either assert patents or face patent assertions. Because we do not assert patents and are not likely to have patents asserted against us, we are able to have more open and transparent discussions about the value of patent assets than other market participants whose discussions are directly affected by litigation or the threat of litigation.
- *Patent Market Expertise* – Since our inception, we have been refining our processes for identifying potentially valuable patent assets, analyzing and evaluating those assets and executing transactions to acquire rights to those assets. We have developed an extensive set of skills, relationships, historical transaction data and methodologies for valuing patent assets.

Intellectual Property

We rely primarily on a combination of confidentiality, license and other contractual provisions and trademark, trade secret and copyright law to protect our proprietary intellectual property rights. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy or obtain and use our technology. We rely on a dedicated internal team as well as third-party vendors and advisors to assist with the maintenance and prosecution of the patent assets and applications that we acquire.

Employees

As of December 31, 2012, we had 125 employees. Of the total employees, 37 are engaged in sales, marketing and corporate development, 31 in patent acquisition and research and 57 in finance, administration and operations. None of our employees is represented by a labor union, and we consider current employee relations to be good.

Corporate Information

We were incorporated in Delaware in July 2008. Our principal executive offices are located at One Market Plaza, Suite 800, San Francisco, California 94105. Our telephone number is (866) 779-7641. Our website address is www.rpxcorp.com. The information on, or that can be accessed through, our website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

RPX[®] and Rational Patent[®] are our registered trademarks. Any other trademarks appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are the property of their respective holders.

We have a single operating segment. A summary of our financial information by geographic location is found in Note 18, “Segment Reporting,” in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Available Information

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to reports filed pursuant to Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available free of charge on the Investor Relations section of our Web site at www.rpxcorp.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we file such material with the SEC. The other information posted on our Web site is not incorporated into this Annual Report.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should consider carefully the risks and uncertainties described below before making a decision to buy our common stock. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations or growth prospects could be harmed. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment in our common stock. The risks described below are not the only risks facing us. Risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

Our limited operating history makes it difficult to evaluate our current business and future prospects, and potential clients may have concerns regarding the effectiveness of our business model in the future. If companies do not continue to subscribe to our solution, our business and operating results will be adversely affected.

We were incorporated in July 2008. We acquired our first patent assets in September 2008 and sold our first membership in October 2008. Therefore, we have not only a limited operating history, but also a limited track record in executing our business model. Our future success depends on acceptance of our solution by companies we target to become clients. Our efforts to sell our solution to new and existing clients may not continue to be successful. We evaluate our business model from time to time in order to address the evolving needs of our clients and prospective clients, particularly in an industry that continues to develop and change. Furthermore, because we are a relatively new company with a limited operating history, current and prospective clients may have concerns regarding our viability. Our limited operating history may also make it difficult to evaluate our current business and future prospects. We have encountered and will continue to encounter risks and difficulties frequently experienced by companies in rapidly changing industries. If we do not manage these risks successfully, our business and operating results will be adversely affected.

We may experience significant quarterly fluctuations in our operating results due to a number of factors, which make our future operating results difficult to predict and could cause our operating results to fall below expectations.

Due to our limited operating history, our evolving business model and the unpredictability of our emerging industry, certain of our operating results have fluctuated significantly in the past and may fluctuate significantly in the future. Many of the factors that cause these fluctuations are outside of our control. The amount we spend to acquire patent assets and the timing of those acquisitions may result in significant quarterly fluctuations in our capital expenditures, and the amount and timing of our membership sales may result in significant fluctuations in our cash flow on a quarterly basis. As a result, comparing our operating results on a period-to-period basis may not be meaningful. You should not rely on our past results as an indication of our future performance.

In addition to the factors described above and elsewhere in this Item 1A, other factors that may affect our operating results include:

- increases in the prices we need to pay to acquire patent assets;
- increases in operating expenses, including those attributable to additional headcount and the costs of new business initiatives;
- lower subscription fees from clients whose annual subscription fees decrease due to declining operating income or revenue of such clients;
- increases in operating expenses attributable to the formation and management of our risk retention group;
- non-renewals from existing clients for any reason;
- loss of clients, including through acquisitions or consolidations;
- changes in our subscription fee rates or changes in our own pricing and discounting policies or those of our competitors;
- our inability to acquire patent assets that are being asserted or may be asserted against our clients due to lack of availability, unfavorable pricing terms or otherwise;
- changes in patent law and regulations and other legislation, as well as United States Patent and Trademark Office procedures or court rulings, that reduce the value of our solution to our existing and potential clients;
- our lengthy and unpredictable membership sales cycle, including delays in potential clients' decisions whether to subscribe to our solution;
- changes in the accounting treatment associated with our acquisitions of patent assets, how we amortize those patent assets and how we recognize revenue under subscription agreements;
- our inability to effectively develop and implement new solutions that meet client requirements in a timely manner;

[Table of Contents](#)

- decreases in our clients' and prospective clients' costs of litigating patent infringement claims;
- losses incurred in insurance policies underwritten by us;
- our inability to retain key personnel;
- any significant changes in the competitive dynamics of our market, including new competitors or substantial discounting of services that are viewed by our target market as competitive to ours;
- gains or losses realized as a result of our sale of patents, including upon the exercise by any of our clients of their limited right to purchase certain of our patent assets for defensive purposes in the event of a patent infringement suit brought against such client by a third party; and
- adverse economic conditions in the industries that we serve, particularly as they affect the intellectual property risk management and/or litigation budgets of our existing or potential clients.

If our operating results in a particular quarter do not meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors, our stock price could be substantially affected. In particular, if our operating results fall below expectations, our stock price could decline substantially.

The market for our patent risk management solution is immature, and if our solution is not widely accepted or is accepted more slowly than we expect, our operating results will be adversely affected.

We have derived substantially all of our revenue from the sale of memberships to our patent risk management solution and we expect this will continue for the foreseeable future. As a result, widespread acceptance of this solution is critical to our future success. The market for patent risk management solutions is new and it is uncertain whether these solutions will achieve and sustain high levels of demand and market acceptance. Our success will depend, to a substantial extent, on the willingness of companies of all sizes to purchase and renew memberships as a way to reduce their patent litigation costs. If companies do not perceive the cost-savings benefits of patent risk management solutions, then wide market adoption of our solution will not develop, or it may develop more slowly than we expect. Either scenario would adversely affect our operating results in a significant way. Factors that may negatively affect wide market acceptance of our solution, as well as our ability to obtain new clients and renew existing clients, include:

- uncertainty about our ability to significantly reduce patent litigation costs for a particular company;
- reduced assertions from NPEs or decreased patent licensing fees owed to NPEs;
- limitations on the ability of NPEs to bring patent claims or limitations on the potential damages recoverable from such claims;
- reduced cost to our clients of defending patent assertion claims;
- lack of perceived relevance and value in our existing patent asset portfolio by existing or potential clients;
- concerns by existing or potential clients about our future ability to obtain rights to patent assets that are being or may be asserted against them;
- reduced incentives to renew memberships if clients have vested in perpetual licenses in all patent assets that they believe are materially relevant to their businesses;
- lack of sufficient interest by mid- and small-size companies in our patent risk management or insurance offerings;
- reduced incentive for companies to become clients because we do not assert our patent assets in litigation;
- concerns that we might change our current business model and assert our patent assets in litigation;
- budgetary limitations for existing or potential clients; and
- the belief that adequate coverage for the risks and expenses we attempt to reduce is available from alternative products or services.

We have limited experience with respect to our subscription pricing model, and if the prices we charge for memberships are unacceptable to our existing or potential clients, our revenue and operating results could experience volatility or decline.

We have limited experience with respect to determining the appropriate metrics for establishing the annual subscription fees for our patent risk management solution. If the market for our solution develops more slowly than we anticipate, or if competitors introduce new solutions that compete with ours, we may be unable to renew our memberships or attract new clients at favorable prices based on the same pricing model we have historically used. In the future, it is possible that competitive dynamics in our market may require us to change our pricing model, reduce our subscription fee rates, or consider adding new pricing programs or discounts, which could harm our operating results. If we introduce a higher fee schedule in the future, it may be more difficult for us to attract new clients. In order to attract new clients and retain existing clients, in certain cases we have previously offered, and may in the future offer, discounts or other contractual incentives to clients who execute multi-year subscription agreements or who make client referrals.

We have very limited flexibility to change the pricing of our solution for existing clients and may not be able to respond effectively to changes in our market. This limited flexibility could have an adverse effect on our operating results.

Under many of our existing subscription agreements, our annual subscription fee is based on a published fee schedule applicable to all of our clients that join our network while that fee schedule is in effect. Clients under such agreements are able to renew their memberships perpetually under the fee schedule in effect at the time that they joined our network with periodic adjustments by us only based on changes in the Consumer Price Index. This means that any increases to our fee schedule apply only to clients that join after such increase. Accordingly, we have limited ability to change the economics of our business model with respect to existing clients in response to changes in the market in which we operate. This limited flexibility could have an adverse effect on our operating results. For example, if we increase our operating expenses as a result of changes in our market, we would have very limited ability to increase the subscription fees we charge to our existing clients to offset the increased operating expenses, and our operating results could be adversely affected.

Our membership sales cycles can be long and unpredictable, and our membership sales efforts require considerable time and expense. As a result, our membership sales are difficult to predict and will vary substantially from quarter to quarter, which may cause our cash flow to fluctuate significantly.

Because we operate in a relatively new and unproven market, our membership sales efforts involve educating potential clients about the benefit of our solution, including potential cost savings to a company. Potential clients typically undergo a lengthy decision-making process that has, in the past, generally resulted in a lengthy and unpredictable sales cycle. Mid- and small-size companies are generally subject to less patent litigation and we expect even lengthier sales cycles for such companies. We spend substantial time, effort and resources in our membership sales efforts without any assurance that our efforts will produce any membership sales. In addition, subscriptions are frequently subject to budget constraints, multiple approvals, and unplanned administrative, processing and other delays. As a result of these factors, our membership sales in any period are difficult to predict and will likely vary substantially between periods, which may cause our cash flow to fluctuate significantly between periods.

The success of our business will increasingly depend on clients renewing their subscription agreements, but we do not have an adequate operating history to predict the rate of membership renewals. Any significant decline in our membership renewals could harm our operating results.

Our clients have no obligation to renew their subscriptions after the expiration of their initial membership period. We have limited historical data with respect to rates of subscription renewals, so we cannot accurately predict renewal rates. The weighted-average term of our subscription agreements in effect as of December 31, 2012 was 2.8 years. As our overall membership base grows, we expect our renewal rate to decline compared to our historical rate. Our clients may choose not to renew their memberships or, if they do renew, may choose to do so for shorter terms or seek a reduced subscription fee. Many of our subscription agreements provide for automatic one-year renewal periods. As a result, as more of our clients are in renewal periods, the weighted-average term of our subscription agreements may decrease. If our clients do not renew their subscriptions or renew for shorter terms or if we allow them to renew at reduced subscription fees, our revenue may decline and our business may be adversely affected.

Upon initial subscription, our clients receive a term license for the period of their membership to the majority of the patent assets in our portfolio at the time of subscription. In addition, clients receive term licenses to substantially all of the patent assets we acquire during the period of their membership. Our subscription agreements also include a vesting provision that converts a client's term licenses into perpetual licenses on a delayed, rolling basis as long as the company remains a client. Accordingly, clients who continue to subscribe to our solution receive perpetual licenses to an increasing number of our patent assets over time. If we are unable to adequately show clients that we are continuing to obtain additional patent assets that are being or may be asserted against them, clients may choose not to renew their subscriptions once they have vested into a perpetual license in all patent assets they believe are materially relevant to their businesses.

Because we generally recognize revenue from membership subscriptions over the term of the membership, upturns or downturns in membership sales may not be immediately reflected in our operating results. As a result, our future operating results may be difficult to predict.

We generally recognize subscription fees received from clients ratably over the period of time to which those fees apply. Most of our clients are invoiced annually, and thus their fees are recognized as revenue over the course of 12 months. Consequently, a decline in new or renewed subscriptions in any one quarter will not be fully reflected in that quarter's revenue and will negatively affect our revenue in future quarters. In addition, we may be unable to adjust our cost structure quickly to reflect this reduced revenue. Accordingly, the effect of either significant downturns in membership sales or rapid market acceptance of our solution may not be fully reflected in our results of operations in the period in which such events occur. Our membership subscription model also makes it difficult for us to rapidly increase our revenue through additional sales in any period, as subscription fees from new clients must generally be recognized over the applicable membership term.

Our subscription fees from clients may decrease due to factors outside of our control. Any reduction in subscription fees could harm our business and operating results.

Each client's subscription fee is reset yearly based on its reported revenue and operating income measured as of the end of its last fiscal year. If a client who is not already paying the minimum due under our fee schedule experiences reduced operating results, its subscription fee for the next year will decline. As a result, our revenue stream is affected by conditions outside of our control that impact the operating results of our clients.

Our fee schedules generally provide that our subscription fee as a percentage of the client's operating income decreases as their operating income goes up. Further, the client's in our network as of December 31, 2012 each had a fee schedule subject to an annual cap. As a result, if one of our clients acquires another client, our future revenue would be reduced as a result of the application of our fee schedule to the combined entity rather than to each entity separately. Any reduction in subscription fees could harm our business and operating results.

We have invested management time and resources into developing products designed to provide insurance against NPE patent infringement claims. We do not have prior experience in designing or providing insurance products. If we are not successful in selling a significant amount of these insurance products, we will not realize the anticipated benefit of these investments, which could have an adverse effect on our growth prospects, and our business may be harmed.

We have invested management time and financial resources in the development of products designed to provide insurance against NPE patent claims. We have also provided capital to develop and operate this business. We do not have prior experience in designing insurance products, operating an insurance business, attracting policyholders or establishing the pricing or terms of insurance policies. We cannot assure you that our patent insurance products will appeal to a sufficient number of our existing clients or attract new clients to build a sustainable insurance business. If we are unsuccessful in managing this business, we may not realize the anticipated benefits of our investments of capital and management attention, which could have an adverse effect on our financial performance and growth prospects and our business may be harmed.

We rely on various actuarial models in pricing our insurance product and estimate the frequency and severity of related loss events, but actual results could differ materially from the model outputs. Incurring losses that exceed our predictions could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We employ various predictive modeling, stochastic modeling and/or actuarial techniques to analyze and estimate losses and the risks associated with insurance policies that we underwrite. We utilize the modeled outputs and related analyses to assist us in making underwriting, pricing and reinsurance decisions. The modeled outputs and related analyses are subject to numerous assumptions, uncertainties and the inherent limitations of any statistical analysis. Consequently, modeled results may differ materially from our actual experience. If, based upon these models or otherwise, we under price our products or underestimate the frequency and/or severity of loss events, our results of operations or financial condition may be adversely affected, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. If, based upon these models or otherwise, we over price our products or overestimate the risks we are exposed to, new business growth and retention of our existing business may be adversely affected.

If we are unable either to identify patent assets that are being asserted or that could be asserted against existing and potential clients or to obtain such assets at prices that are economically supportable within our business model, we may not be able to attract or retain sufficient clients and our operating results would be harmed.

Our ability to attract new clients and renew the subscription agreements of existing clients depends on our ability to identify and acquire patent assets that are being asserted or that could be asserted against our existing or potential clients. There is no guarantee that we will be able to adequately identify those types of patent assets on an ongoing basis and, even if identified, that we will be able to acquire rights to those patent assets on terms that are favorable to us, or at all. As new technological advances occur, some or all of the patent assets we have acquired may become less valuable or obsolete before we have had the opportunity to obtain significant value from those assets.

Our approach to acquiring patent assets generally involves acquiring ownership or a license at a fixed price. Other companies, such as NPEs, often offer contingent payments to sellers of patents that may provide the seller the opportunity to receive greater amounts in the future for the sale of its patents as compared to the fixed price we generally pay. As a result, we may not be able to compete effectively for the acquisition of certain patent assets.

If clients do not perceive that the patent assets we acquire are relevant to their businesses, we will have difficulty attracting new clients and renewing existing clients, and our operating results will be harmed. Similarly, if clients are not satisfied with the amount of capital we deploy to acquire patent assets, they may choose not to renew their subscriptions. These risks are greater if we elect to invest a significant amount of our capital in only a few acquisitions of patent assets.

We may not be able to compete effectively against others to attract new clients or acquire patent assets. Any failure to compete effectively could harm our business and results of operations.

In our efforts to attract new clients and retain existing clients, we compete primarily against established patent risk management strategies employed by those companies. Companies can choose from a variety of other strategies to attempt to manage their patent risk, including internal buying or licensing programs, cross-licensing arrangements, patent-buying consortiums or other patent-buying pools and engaging legal counsel to defend against patent assertions. As a result, we spend considerable resources educating our existing and prospective clients on the potential benefits of our solution and the value and cost savings it may provide.

In addition to competing for new clients, we also compete to acquire patent assets. Our primary competitors in the market for patent assets include other entities that seek to accumulate patent assets, including NPEs such as Acacia Research, Intellectual Ventures and IP Navigation. Many of our current or potential competitors have longer operating histories, greater name recognition and significantly greater financial resources than we have. In addition, many NPEs that compete with us to acquire patent assets have complicated corporate structures that include a large number of subsidiaries, so it is difficult for us to know who the ultimate parent entity is and how much capital the related entities have available to acquire patent assets. We also face competition for patent assets from operating companies, including operating companies that are current or prospective clients. Many of these operating companies have significantly greater financial resources than we have and can acquire patent assets at prices that we may not be able to pay.

We expect to face more direct competition in the future from other established and emerging companies. In addition, as a relatively new company in the patent risk management market, we have limited insight into trends that may develop and affect our business. As a result, we may make errors in predicting and reacting to relevant business trends, making us unable to compete effectively against others.

Our current or potential competitors vary widely in size and in the scope and breadth of the products and services they offer. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial resources and a larger client base and sales and marketing teams. The competition we face now and in the future could result in increased pricing pressure, reduced margins, increased sales and marketing expenses and a failure to increase, or the loss of, market share. We may not be able to maintain or improve our competitive position against our current or future competitors, and our failure to do so could seriously harm our business.

Certain of our acquisitions of patent assets are time consuming, complex and costly, which could adversely affect our operating results.

Certain of our acquisitions of patent assets are time consuming, complex and costly to consummate. We utilize many different transaction structures in our acquisitions and the terms of the acquisition agreements tend to be very heavily negotiated. As a result, we may incur significant operating expenses during the negotiations even where the acquisition is ultimately not consummated. Even if we successfully acquire particular patent assets, there is no guarantee that we will generate sufficient revenue related to those patent assets to offset the acquisition costs. While we conduct confirmatory due diligence on the patent assets we are considering for acquisition, we may acquire patent assets from a seller who does not have proper title to those assets. In those cases, we may be required to spend significant resources to defend our interests in the patent assets and, if we are not successful, our acquisition may be invalid, in which case we could lose part or all of our investment in the assets.

We occasionally identify patent assets that cost more than we are prepared to spend of our own capital resources or that may be relevant only to a very small number of clients. In these circumstances, we may structure and coordinate a transaction in which certain of our clients contribute funds that are in addition to their subscription fees in order to acquire those patent assets. These structured acquisitions are complex and can be large and high profile. We may incur significant costs to organize and negotiate a structured acquisition that does not ultimately result in an acquisition of any patent assets. These higher costs could adversely affect our operating results. Our roles in structuring the acquisition and managing the acquisition entity, if one is used, may expose us to financial and reputational risks.

Our business model is new and complex, requiring estimates and judgments by our management. Our estimates and judgments are subject to changes that could adversely affect our operating results.

Our patent risk management business model is new and therefore our accounting and tax treatment has limited precedent. The determination of patent asset amortization expense for financial and income tax reporting requires estimates and judgments on the part of management. Some of our patent asset acquisitions are complex, requiring additional estimates and judgments on the part of our management. From time to time, we evaluate our estimates and judgments. However, such estimates and judgments are, by their nature, subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions, and factors may arise that lead us to change our estimates or judgments. If this or any other changes occur, our operating results may be adversely affected. Furthermore, if the accounting or tax treatment is challenged, we may be required to spend considerable time and expense defending our position and we may be unable to successfully defend our accounting or tax treatment, any of which could adversely affect our business and operating results.

We plan to substantially increase our operating expenses to expand our operations, and those increased expenses may negatively impact our profitability.

We expect to increase future expenditures to develop and expand our business, including making substantial expenditures to develop new solutions. To further one of those new solutions, we formed a risk retention group which issues insurance policies to cover the costs of NPE patent claims. Developing and offering this new solution may cause us to incur substantial additional operating expenses. Our efforts to develop this and other new solutions will result in an increase in our operating expenses with no assurance that such solutions will result in additional revenue that is sufficient to offset the additional expenses we incur.

We also plan to incur additional operating expenses as we hire new personnel. From January 1 through December 31, 2012, our headcount grew from 110 to 125 employees. Because we intend to continue to hire, we expect our operating expenses to increase. If we are not successful in generating additional revenue that is sufficient to offset these operating expense increases, our operating results may be harmed.

If we are unable to successfully expand our membership base to include small and mid-size companies, we may not be able to maintain our growth and our business and results of operations may be harmed.

Many of our current clients are very large companies. The number of companies of that size is limited and, in order for us to continue our growth, we need to expand our membership base to include small and mid-size companies. There is no guarantee that we will be successful in those efforts. Small and mid-size companies often have more limited budgets available for solutions of the type we offer compared to large companies. Furthermore, small and mid-size companies are generally not subject to as much patent litigation as large companies and, therefore, may not perceive that the benefits of our solution justify its cost. They may also have concerns that we will focus our patent acquisition efforts on patent assets that are of more benefit to our larger clients who pay us higher subscription fees. If we are unable to successfully expand our membership base to include more small and mid-size companies, our growth may slow, and our business may be harmed.

We receive a significant amount of our revenues from a limited number of clients, and if we are not able to obtain membership renewals from these clients, our revenue may decrease substantially.

We receive a significant amount of our revenue from a limited number of clients. For example, during the year ended December 31, 2012, our 10 highest revenue-generating clients accounted for approximately 30% of our total revenue. We expect that a significant portion of our revenue will continue to come from a relatively small number of clients for the foreseeable future. If any of these clients chooses not to renew its membership, or if our subscription fees from one of these clients decline, our revenue may correspondingly decrease and our operating results may be adversely affected.

If we are unable to enhance our current solution or to develop or acquire new solutions to provide additional value to our clients and potential clients, we may not be able to maintain our growth, and our business may be harmed.

In order to attract new clients and retain existing clients, we need to enhance and improve our existing solution and introduce new solutions that meet the needs of our clients. We have in the past, and may in the future, seek to acquire or invest in businesses, products or technologies that we believe could complement or expand our service offerings, enhance our technical capabilities or otherwise offer growth opportunities.

The development and implementation of new solutions will continue to require substantial time and resources, as well as require us to operate businesses that would be new to our organization. These or any other new solutions may not be introduced in a timely manner or at all. If we do introduce these or any other solutions, we may be unable to implement such solutions in a cost-effective manner, achieve wide market acceptance, meet client expectations or generate revenue sufficient to recoup the cost of developing such solutions. Any new solutions we introduce may expose us to additional laws, regulations and risks. If we are unable to develop these or other solutions successfully and enhance our existing solution to meet client requirements or expectations, we may not be able to attract or retain clients, and our business may be harmed.

New legislation, regulations or court rulings related to enforcing patents could reduce the value of our solution to clients or potential clients and harm our business and operating results.

If Congress, the United States Patent and Trademark Office or courts implement additional legislation, regulations or rulings that impact the patent enforcement process or the rights of patent holders, these changes could negatively affect the operating results and business model for NPEs. This, in turn, could reduce the value of our solution to our current and potential clients. For example, limitations on the ability to bring patent enforcement claims, limitations on the number of defendants that can be joined in a single patent litigation action, limitations on potential liability for patent infringement, lower evidentiary standards and new procedures for invalidating patents, increased difficulty for parties making patent assertions to obtain injunctions, reductions in the cost to resolve patent disputes and other similar developments could negatively affect an NPE's ability to assert its patent rights successfully, decrease the revenue associated with asserting or licensing an NPE's patent rights and increase the cost of bringing patent enforcement actions. As a result, assertions and the threat of assertions by NPEs may decrease. If this occurs, companies may seek to resolve patent claims

on an individual basis and be less willing to subscribe to our solution or renew their memberships. Furthermore, even if companies are interested in subscribing to our solution or maintaining their memberships, companies may be unwilling to pay the subscription fees that we propose. Any of these events could result in a material adverse effect to our business and operating results.

Following the launch of insurance products for NPE patent infringement claims, we now face the risks associated with operating an insurance business. If we fail to manage these risks, our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

We recently started to offer insurance products for NPE patent claims, and therefore face new risks associated with the operation of an insurance business. We have no prior experience in operating an insurance business, which includes assuming underwriting risk and setting premiums. There are many estimates and forecasts involved in predicting underwriting risk and setting premiums, many of which are subject to substantial uncertainty and which could cause our expenses and earnings to vary significantly from quarter to quarter. If we do not estimate our underwriting risks and set our premiums successfully, we may incur larger losses on our policies than we expect, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. Under GAAP, while revenue is recognized ratably, losses are recognized as incurred. This will increase the variability of our operating results until such time as our insurance business operates at scale. Furthermore, the insurance market is highly regulated, so operation of an insurance business will expose us to additional laws and regulations. Compliance with such laws and regulations may be costly which could affect our results of operations.

We plan to continue to grow our operations to support our current solution and the development of new solutions. If we fail to manage our growth effectively, we may be unable to execute our business plan, maintain high levels of service or address competitive challenges adequately.

We have substantially expanded our overall business, headcount and operations in recent periods. We plan to expand our operations and headcount in the future in order to support our efforts to increase our membership base, continue to acquire valuable patent assets and develop additional solutions. Further, increases in our membership base could challenge our ability to provide satisfactory service and support to our clients. In addition, we will be required to continue to improve our operational, financial and management controls and our reporting procedures. As a result, we may be unable to manage our business effectively in the future, which may negatively impact our operating results.

If we are not perceived as a trusted defensive patent aggregator, our ability to gain wide market acceptance will be harmed, and our operating results could be adversely affected.

Our reputation, which depends on earning and maintaining the trust of existing and potential clients, is critical to our business. Our reputation is vulnerable to many threats that can be difficult or impossible to control and costly or impossible to remediate. For our business to be successful, we must continue to educate potential clients about our role as a trusted intermediary in the patent market. If our reputation is harmed, we may have more difficulty attracting new clients and retaining existing clients, and our operating results could be adversely affected.

We may become involved in patent or other litigation proceedings related to our clients. Our involvement could cause us to expend significant resources. It could also require us to disclose information related to our clients, which could cause such clients not to renew their subscriptions with us.

The patent market is heavily impacted by litigation. As a result, we may be required, by subpoena or otherwise, to participate in patent or other litigation proceedings related to our clients. Our participation in any such proceedings could require us to expend significant resources and could also be perceived as adverse to the interests of our clients or potential clients if we are required to disclose any information about our clients that we have gathered in the course of their memberships. These additional expenditures and potential disclosures could make it more difficult for us to attract new clients and retain existing clients, and our results of operations could be harmed. For example, on March 7, 2012, a lawsuit was filed against the Company and some of its clients (the “Defendants”). The complaint alleges that the Defendants violated federal antitrust law, California antitrust law and California unfair competition law. The plaintiff seeks unspecified monetary damages and injunctive relief. The Company is not currently able to determine whether there is a reasonable possibility that a loss will be incurred nor can it estimate the potential loss or range of the potential loss that may result from this litigation. We may incur significant costs in defending this claim and the result may not be favorable. An unfavorable outcome of this claim could result in proliferation of similar claims against us. The expense and disclosure associated with our involvement in litigation could have an adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Interpretations of current laws and the passage of future laws could harm our business and operating results.

Because of our limited operating history and our presence in an emerging industry, the application to us of existing United States and foreign laws is unclear. Many laws do not contemplate or address the specific issues associated with our patent risk management solution or other products and services we may provide in the future. It is possible that courts or other governmental authorities will interpret existing laws regulating risk management and insurance, competition and antitrust practices, taxation, the

practice of law and patent usage and transfers in a manner that is inconsistent with our business practices. Our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations may be harmed if our operations are found to be in violation of any existing laws or any other governmental regulations that may apply to us. Additionally, existing laws and regulations may restrict our ability to deliver services to our clients, limit our ability to grow and cause us to incur significant expenses in order to comply with such laws and regulations. Even if our business practices are ultimately not affected, we may incur significant cost to defend our actions, incur negative publicity and suffer substantial diversion of management time and effort. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Additionally, we face risks from laws that could be passed in the future. It is impossible to determine the extent of the impact of any new laws, regulations or initiatives that may be proposed, or whether any of the proposals will become law. Compliance with any new or existing laws or regulations could be difficult and expensive, affect the manner in which we conduct our business and negatively impact our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Any failure to maintain or protect our patent assets or other intellectual property rights could impair our ability to attract or retain clients and maintain our brand and could harm our business and operating results.

Our business is dependent on our ability to acquire patent assets that are valuable to our existing and potential clients. Following the acquisition of patent assets, we expend significant time and resources to maintain the effectiveness of those assets by paying maintenance fees and making filings with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. In some cases, the patent assets we acquire include patent applications which require us to invest resources to prosecute the applications with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. If we fail to maintain or prosecute our patent assets properly, the value of those assets to our clients would be reduced or eliminated, and our business would be harmed.

We may acquire other companies or technologies, which could divert our management's attention, result in additional dilution to our stockholders and otherwise disrupt our operations and harm our operating results.

We have in the past and may in the future seek to acquire or invest in businesses, products or technologies that we believe could complement or expand our client offerings, enhance our technical capabilities or otherwise offer growth opportunities. The pursuit of potential acquisitions may divert the attention of management and cause us to incur various expenses in identifying, investigating and pursuing suitable acquisitions, whether or not they are consummated. We may not be able to integrate the acquired personnel, operations and technologies successfully or effectively manage the combined business following the completion of the acquisition.

We may not achieve the anticipated benefits from a business acquisition due to a number of factors, including:

- difficulties in integrating operations, technologies, services and personnel;
- unanticipated costs or liabilities associated with the acquisition;
- incurrence of acquisition-related costs;
- diversion of management's attention from other business concerns;
- potential loss of key employees;
- additional legal, financial and accounting challenges and complexities in areas such as tax planning, cash management and financial reporting;
- use of resources that are needed in other parts of our business; and
- use of substantial portions of our available cash to consummate the acquisition.

Future acquisitions could also result in dilutive issuances of equity securities or the incurrence of debt, which could adversely affect our operating results. In addition, if an acquired business fails to meet our expectations, our operating results, business and financial condition may suffer.

If we fail to develop widespread brand awareness cost-effectively, we may not attract new clients and our business and operating results may suffer.

We believe that developing and maintaining widespread awareness of our brand in a cost-effective manner is critical to achieving widespread acceptance of our solution and is an important element in attracting new clients. Furthermore, we believe that the importance of brand recognition will increase as competition in our market develops. Brand promotion activities may not generate client awareness or yield increased revenue, and even if they do, any increased revenue may not offset the expenses we incurred in building our brand. If we fail to promote and maintain our brand successfully, or if we incur substantial expenses in an unsuccessful attempt to promote and maintain our brand, we may fail to attract or retain clients to the extent necessary to realize a sufficient return on our brand-building efforts.

We are dependent on our management team, and the loss of any key member of this team may prevent us from implementing our business plan, which could harm our future growth and operating results.

Our success depends largely upon the continued services of our executive officers and other key personnel. We do not have employment agreements with any of our executive officers or other key management personnel that require them to remain our employees. Therefore, they could terminate their employment with us at any time without penalty. We do not maintain key person life insurance policies on any of our employees. The loss of one or more of our key employees could seriously harm our business.

Our inability to identify, attract, train, integrate and retain highly qualified employees would harm our business.

Our future success depends on our ability to identify, attract, train, integrate and retain highly qualified technical, sales and marketing, managerial and administrative personnel. In particular, our ability to increase our revenue is dependent on our ability to hire personnel that can identify and acquire valuable patent assets and attract new clients. Competition for highly skilled sales, business development and technical individuals is intense, and we continue to face difficulty identifying and hiring qualified personnel in some areas of our business. We may not be able to hire and retain such personnel at compensation levels consistent with our existing compensation and salary structure. Many of the companies with which we compete for hiring experienced employees have greater resources than we have. If we fail to identify, attract, train, integrate and retain highly qualified and motivated personnel, our reputation could suffer, and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

If we fail to effectively integrate our new Chief Financial Officer and adapt to changes in the company's management team, our ability to execute our business plan may suffer, which could have an adverse effect on our future growth, operating results and stock price.

On September 1, 2012, Geoffrey T. Barker transitioned from his former position as Chief Operating Officer to a strategic role as an Executive Director. In addition, our Board of Directors recently selected Ned Segal to succeed Adam Spiegel as our Chief Financial Officer. As a result of these changes in personnel and positions, the members of our management team have assumed new responsibilities. Our ability to execute our business plan will depend in part on our ability to successfully integrate our new Chief Financial Officer into the management team and our management team's ability to develop new working relationships and adapt to changing responsibilities. Our stock price will also depend in part on our investors' confidence in our new management team. Any actual or perceived inability of our management team to execute our business plan could have an adverse effect on our future growth, operating results and stock price.

Weak global economic conditions may adversely affect demand for our solution or fees payable under our subscription agreements, which could adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

Our operations and performance depend significantly on worldwide economic conditions, and the United States and world economies have recently experienced weak economic conditions. Uncertainty about global economic conditions poses a risk as businesses may postpone spending in response to tighter credit, negative financial news and declines in income or asset values. This response could have a material negative effect on the demand for our solution. Furthermore, if our clients experience reduced operating income or revenues as a result of economic conditions or otherwise, it would reduce their subscription fees because those fees are generally reset annually based on the clients' operating income or revenue. If the subscription fees payable under our subscription agreements are reduced substantially, it would have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We might require additional capital to support our business growth and future patent asset acquisitions, and this capital might not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unable to obtain adequate financing or financing on terms satisfactory to us when we require it, our ability to continue to support our business growth and to respond to business challenges could be significantly limited.

We intend to continue to make investments to support our business growth and may require additional funds to respond to business challenges, including the need to acquire patent assets, develop new solutions or enhance our existing solution, enhance our operating infrastructure and acquire complementary businesses and technologies. Accordingly, we may need to engage in equity or debt financings or enter into credit agreements to secure additional funds. If we raise additional funds through further issuances of equity or convertible debt securities, our existing stockholders could suffer significant dilution, and any new equity securities we issue could have rights, preferences and privileges superior to those of holders of our common stock. Any debt financing secured by us in the future could involve restrictive covenants relating to our capital-raising activities and other financial and operational matters, which may make it more difficult for us to obtain additional capital and to pursue business opportunities, including potential acquisitions. In addition, we may not be able to obtain additional financing on terms favorable to us, or at all. If we are unable to obtain adequate financing or financing on terms satisfactory to us when we require it, our ability to continue to support our business growth and to respond to business challenges could be significantly limited, which could have an adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

As a public company, we incur significant increased costs that may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

As a public company, we incur significant accounting, legal and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company, including costs associated with our public company reporting requirements. We have also incurred, and anticipate that we will continue to incur, costs associated with corporate governance requirements, including requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of

2002 and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, as well as rules implemented by the SEC and The NASDAQ Stock Market. Furthermore, these laws and regulations could make it more difficult or more costly for us to obtain certain types of insurance, including director and officer liability insurance, and we may be forced to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. The impact of these requirements could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to serve on our Board of Directors, our board committees or as executive officers.

New laws and regulations as well as changes to existing laws and regulations affecting public companies, including the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and rules adopted by the SEC and by The NASDAQ Stock Market, would likely result in increased costs to us as we respond to their requirements. We are investing resources to comply with evolving laws and regulations, and this investment may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management's time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities. Such increased expenses, the difficulty of attracting and retaining qualified officers and directors, and the diversion of management's attention could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Changes in our tax rates or exposure to additional tax liabilities could adversely affect our earnings and financial condition.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and various foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes and other tax liabilities. In the ordinary course of our business, there are intercompany transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. Our income tax returns are routinely subject to audits by tax authorities. Although we regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine our tax estimates, a final determination of tax audits or tax disputes could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

We are also subject to non-income taxes, such as payroll, sales, use, value-added, net worth, property and goods and services taxes in the United States and various foreign jurisdictions. We are routinely under audit by tax authorities with respect to these non-income taxes and may have exposure to additional non-income tax liabilities that could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, our future effective tax rates could be favorably or unfavorably affected by changes in tax rates, changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets or liabilities, changes in our legal structure or changes in tax laws or their interpretation. Such changes could have a material adverse impact on our financial results.

If we fail to maintain proper and effective internal controls, our ability to produce accurate financial statements could be impaired, which could adversely affect our operating results, our ability to operate our business and investors' views of us.

Ensuring that we have internal financial and accounting controls and procedures adequate to produce accurate financial statements on a timely basis is a costly and time-consuming effort that needs to be re-evaluated frequently. We have in the past discovered, and may in the future discover, areas of our internal financial and accounting controls and procedures that need improvement. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires, among other things, that we maintain effective internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. In particular, we must perform system and process evaluation and testing of our internal control over financial reporting to allow management and our independent registered public accounting firm to report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Our compliance with Section 404 requires that we incur substantial accounting expense and expend significant management time on compliance-related issues. While neither we nor our independent registered public accounting firm have identified deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting that are deemed to be material weaknesses, there can be no assurance that material weaknesses will not subsequently be identified.

Our results of operations could vary as a result of the methods, estimates and judgments we use in applying our accounting policies.

The methods, estimates and judgments we use in applying our accounting policies have a significant impact on our results of operations, including the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, patent assets, other investments, income taxes, litigation and other intangibles, and other contingencies. Such methods, estimates and judgments are, by their nature, subject to substantial risks, uncertainties and assumptions, and factors may arise over time that lead us to change our methods, estimates and judgments. In addition, actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Changes in those methods, estimates and judgments could significantly affect our results of operations.

Reinsurance coverage may not be available to us in the future at commercially reasonable rates or at all.

As of December 31, 2012, we had secured quota share reinsurance for 50% of the risk underwritten through our NPE insurance product offering. The availability and cost of reinsurance are subject to prevailing market conditions that are beyond our control. No assurances can be made that reinsurance will remain continuously available to us in amounts that we consider sufficient and at rates that we consider acceptable, which would cause us to increase the amount of risk we retain, reduce the amount of business we underwrite or look for alternatives to reinsurance. This, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Our operations are subject to risks of natural disasters, acts of war, terrorism or widespread illness at our domestic and international locations, any one of which could result in a business stoppage and negatively affect our operating results.

Our business operations depend on our ability to maintain and protect our facility, computer systems and personnel, which are primarily located in the San Francisco Bay Area. The San Francisco Bay Area is in close proximity to known earthquake fault zones. Our facility and transportation for our employees are susceptible to damage from earthquakes and other natural disasters such as fires, floods and similar events. Should earthquakes or other catastrophes such as fires, floods, power outages, communication failures or similar events disable our facilities, we do not have readily available alternative facilities from which we could conduct our business, which stoppage could have a negative effect on our operating results. Acts of terrorism, widespread illness and war could also have a negative effect at our international and domestic facilities and operating results.

Risks Related to Ownership of Our Common Stock

The trading price of our common stock has been volatile and is likely to be volatile in the future, and you might not be able to sell your shares at or above the price at which you purchased them.

Since our initial public offering in May 2011, our stock price has traded as high as \$31.41 per share and as low as \$8.55 per share. Further, our common stock has a limited trading history and an active trading market for our common stock may not be sustained in the future. The market price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. These factors include those discussed in this “Risk Factors” section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and others such as:

- variations in our financial condition and operating results;
- the financial projections we may provide to the public, any changes in these projections or our failure to meet these projections;
- changes in the estimates of our operating results or changes in recommendations by any securities analysts that elect to follow our common stock;
- adoption or modification of laws, regulations, policies, procedures or programs applicable to our business, including those related to the enforcement of patent claims;
- announcements of technological innovations, new products and services, acquisitions, strategic alliances or significant agreements by us or by our competitors;
- addition or loss of significant clients;
- recruitment or departure of members of our Board of Directors, management team or other key personnel;
- market conditions in our industry and the economy as a whole;
- price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock market or resulting from inconsistent trading volume levels of our shares;
- lawsuits threatened or filed against us;
- sales of our common stock by us or our stockholders; and
- the opening or closing of our employee trading window.

In recent years, the stock market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the changes in the operating performance of the companies whose stock is experiencing those price and volume fluctuations. Broad market and industry factors may seriously affect the market price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance.

Our three largest stockholders and our directors and executive officers, in the aggregate, own approximately 44% of our outstanding common stock and will be able to exercise substantial control over all matters requiring stockholder approval. This concentration of ownership limits your ability to influence corporate matters.

As of December 31, 2012, affiliates of Index Ventures, affiliates of Charles River Ventures and KPCB Holdings, Inc. each beneficially owned approximately 10%, 12% and 12%, respectively, of our outstanding common stock, and our directors and executive officers and their affiliates, in the aggregate, beneficially owned approximately an additional 10% of our outstanding common stock. As a result, these stockholders will be able to exercise substantial control over all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our

company or its assets. This concentration of ownership will limit your ability to influence corporate matters and may have the effect of delaying or preventing a third party from acquiring control over us. In addition, each of Index Ventures, Charles River Ventures and KPCB Holdings, Inc. currently has a representative on our Board of Directors.

If securities analysts do not continue to publish research or publish unfavorable research about our business, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock depends in part on the research and reports that securities analysts publish about us or our business. A limited number of securities analysts currently publish research reports on us. If one or more of the analysts who covers us downgrades our stock or publishes unfavorable research about our business, our stock price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts ceases coverage of our company or fails to publish reports on us regularly, demand for our stock could decrease, which could cause our stock price and trading volume to decline.

Substantial future sales of shares by existing stockholders, or the perception that such sales may occur, could cause our stock price to decline, even if our business is doing well.

If our existing stockholders, particularly our directors and executive officers and the venture capital funds affiliated with our current directors, sell substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, or are perceived by the public market as intending to sell substantial amounts of our common stock, the trading price of our common stock could decline.

As of December 31, 2012, some of our existing stockholders have demand and piggyback rights to require us to register with the SEC approximately 22,000,000 shares of our common stock. If we register these shares of common stock, the stockholders would be able to sell those shares freely in the public market.

In addition, shares that are subject to outstanding options or that may be granted in the future under our equity plans will be eligible for sale in the public market to the extent permitted by the provisions of various vesting agreements and Rules 144 and 701 under the Securities Act.

If any of these additional shares described are sold, or if it is perceived that they will be sold, in the public market, the trading price of our common stock could decline.

As a public company, our stock price has been volatile, and securities class action litigation has often been instituted against companies following periods of volatility of their stock price. Any such litigation, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention and resources.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the overall market and the market price of particular companies' securities, securities class action litigation has been instituted against these companies. Our stock has been volatile and may continue to be volatile. If instituted against us, securities litigation could result in substantial costs and a diversion of our management's attention and resources, which could adversely affect our operating results, financial condition and stock price.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and Delaware law could discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company and may affect the trading price of our common stock.

We are a Delaware corporation and the anti-takeover provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may discourage, delay or prevent a change in control by prohibiting us from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder for a period of three years after the person becomes an interested stockholder, even if a change in control would be beneficial to our existing stockholders. In addition, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a change in our management or control over us that stockholders may consider favorable. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws:

- authorize the issuance of "blank check" preferred stock that could be issued by our Board of Directors to thwart a takeover attempt;
- establish a classified Board of Directors, as a result of which the successors to the directors whose terms have expired will be elected to serve from the time of election and qualification until the third annual meeting following their election;
- require that directors only be removed from office for cause and only upon a majority stockholder vote;
- provide that vacancies on our Board of Directors, including newly created directorships, may be filled only by a majority vote of directors then in office;
- limit who may call special meetings of stockholders;
- prohibit stockholder action by written consent, requiring all actions to be taken at a meeting of the stockholders;
- do not provide stockholders with the ability to cumulate their votes;

- require supermajority stockholder voting to effect certain amendments to our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws; and
- require advance notification of stockholder nominations and proposals.

We do not currently intend to pay dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future, and consequently, your ability to achieve a return on your investment will depend on appreciation in the price of our common stock.

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends to holders of our common stock in the foreseeable future. Consequently, investors must rely on sales of their common stock after price appreciation, which may never occur, as the only way to realize any future gains on their investments. There is no guarantee that shares of our common stock will appreciate in value or even maintain the price at which our stockholders have purchased their shares.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None

Item 2. Properties

We currently lease approximately 67,000 square feet of office space in two locations in San Francisco, California pursuant to leases that expire on various dates through October 2019. We also maintain sales offices in Japan and Finland. We believe that our current facilities are suitable and adequate to meet our current needs and we intend to add new facilities or expand existing facilities as we add employees. We believe that suitable additional or substitute space will be available as needed to accommodate expansion of our operations.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may be a party to various litigation claims in the normal course of business. Legal fees and other costs associated with such actions are expensed as incurred. We assess, in conjunction with our legal counsel, the need to record a liability for litigation or contingencies. A liability is recorded when and if it is determined that such a liability for litigation or contingencies is both probable and reasonably estimable. No liability for litigation or contingencies was recorded as of December 31, 2012.

In February 2013, Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. (“Volkswagen”) filed a third party complaint (the “Complaint”) against us as part of an existing patent infringement lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas that was originally filed by Norman IP Holdings, LLC (“Norman”) and in which Volkswagen is a defendant. The Complaint relates to a patent license and acquisition transaction that we entered with Norman and Saxon in January 2010. The claims allege that we, together with Norman and Saxon Innovations, LLC (“Saxon”), violated federal antitrust law and Texas antitrust law. Volkswagen seeks declaratory relief, unspecified monetary damages, and injunctive relief. Because the case against us is at a very early stage, we are not currently able to determine whether there is a reasonable possibility that a loss has been incurred nor can we estimate the range of the potential loss that may result from this litigation.

In March 2012, Cascades Computer Innovations LLC filed a lawsuit in U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (the “Court”) against us and five of our clients (collectively the “Defendants”). The lawsuit alleges that the Defendants violated federal antitrust law, California antitrust law and California unfair competition law. The lawsuit claims that after we terminated our negotiations with the plaintiff to license certain patents held by the plaintiff, the Defendants violated the law by jointly refusing to negotiate or accept licenses under the plaintiff’s patents. The plaintiff seeks unspecified monetary damages and injunctive relief. In January 2013, the Court dismissed the complaint against the Defendants and granted the plaintiff leave to amend its complaint. In February 2013, the plaintiff filed an amended lawsuit alleging that the Defendants violated federal antitrust law, California antitrust law and California unfair competition law. We are not currently able to determine whether there is a reasonable possibility that a loss has been incurred, nor can we estimate the potential loss or range of the potential loss that may result from this litigation.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II.

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Price Range of Common Stock

Our common stock is currently traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "RPXC" and has been traded on NASDAQ since our initial public offering on May 4, 2011. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low closing prices of our common stock as reported on The NASDAQ Global Select Market.

	High	Low
For the year ended December 31, 2011:		
Second Quarter (from May 4, 2011)	\$ 31.41	\$ 23.00
Third Quarter	\$ 29.75	\$ 19.66
Fourth Quarter	\$ 20.29	\$ 11.97
For the year ended December 31, 2012:		
First Quarter	\$ 20.22	\$ 13.50
Second Quarter	\$ 17.49	\$ 13.03
Third Quarter	\$ 14.78	\$ 9.67
Fourth Quarter	\$ 11.01	\$ 8.72

Holders

At February 28, 2013, there were 51 stockholders of record of our common stock. Because many of our shares of common stock are held by brokers or other institutions on behalf of stockholders, we are unable to estimate the total number of stockholders represented by the record holders.

Our equity plan information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information in Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.


Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock, and we do not currently intend to pay any cash dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. We expect to retain future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of our business. Any future determination to pay dividends on our common stock will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon, among other factors, our financial condition, operating results, current and anticipated cash needs, plans for expansion and other factors that our Board of Directors may deem relevant.

Stock Performance Graph

This performance graph shall not be deemed “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the Securities and Exchange Commission for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liabilities under that Section, and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing of RPX Corporation under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act.

The following graph shows the value of an investment of \$100 on May 4, 2011 (the date our common stock commenced trading on The NASDAQ Global Select Market) in our common stock, the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ-100 Technology Sector Index. Data for the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ-100 Technology Sector Index assume reinvestment of dividends. The comparisons shown in the graph are based upon historical data and we caution that the stock price performance shown in the graph is not indicative of, nor intended to forecast, the potential future performance of our stock.



Sales of Unregistered Securities

None

Repurchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

None

Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data

The selected consolidated financial data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, as well as the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements that are included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The selected consolidated financial data for year ended December 31, 2009 and the period from Inception (July 15, 2008) to December 31, 2008 as well as the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are derived from audited consolidated financial statements not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The historical results presented below are not necessarily indicative of results of future operations, and should be read in conjunction with

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 7 “*Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*” and the consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K to fully understand factors that may affect the comparability of the information presented below.

	Year Ended December 31,				Period from Inception (July 15, 2008) to December 31, 2008
	2012	2011	2010	2009	
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
Revenue	\$ 197,688	\$ 154,044	\$ 94,874	\$ 32,822	\$ 792
Cost of revenue	82,323	67,371	43,602	17,710	2,551
Selling, general and administrative expenses	53,590	40,593	23,917	10,250	2,595
(Gain) loss on sale of patent assets, net	(177)	—	536	—	—
Operating income (loss)	61,952	46,080	26,819	4,862	(4,354)
Other income (expense), net	117	(723)	(2,764)	(4,441)	(796)
Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes	62,069	45,357	24,055	421	(5,150)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	23,112	16,225	10,184	(1,513)	—
Net income (loss)	\$ 38,957	\$ 29,132	\$ 13,871	\$ 1,934	\$ (5,150)

Net income (loss) per common share:

Basic	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.24	\$ —	\$ (8.94)
Diluted	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.23	\$ —	\$ (8.94)

Weighted-average shares used in computing net income (loss) per common share:

Basic	49,766	32,032	5,747	2,148	576
Diluted	51,802	35,920	7,164	2,169	576

	As of December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
	(in thousands)				
Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	\$ 199,730	\$ 233,725	\$ 46,656	\$ 28,928	\$ 14,316
Patent assets, net	199,314	163,352	126,508	82,759	55,792
Total assets	493,967	437,994	197,022	123,924	72,106
Deferred revenue, including current portion	104,371	108,275	82,440	24,691	16,895
Notes payable and other deferred payment obligations, including current portion	500	5,056	23,583	38,750	33,008
Total liabilities	133,708	138,910	123,522	66,161	50,337
Redeemable convertible preferred stock	—	—	62,793	59,012	25,193
Total stockholders’ equity (deficit)	360,259	299,084	10,707	(1,249)	(3,424)

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains “forward-looking statements” that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions which, if they never materialize or prove incorrect, could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are not purely historical are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the “Exchange Act”. Forward-looking statements are often identified by the use of words such as, but not limited to, “anticipate,” “believe,” “can,” “continue,” “could,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “will,” “plan,” “project,” “seek,” “should,” “target,” “will,” “would,” and similar expressions or variations intended to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our business strategies and business model, products, benefits to our clients, future financial results and expenses, and patent acquisition spending. These statements are based on the beliefs and assumptions of our management based on information currently available. Such forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause actual results and the timing of certain events to differ materially from future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those identified below, and those discussed in the section titled “Risk Factors” included in Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Furthermore, such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

Overview

We help companies reduce patent-related risk and expense by providing a subscription-based patent risk management solution that facilitates more efficient exchanges of value between owners and users of patents compared to transactions driven by actual or threatened litigation.

The core of our solution is defensive patent aggregation, in which we acquire patent assets that are being or may be asserted against our current or prospective clients. We then provide our clients with licenses to our patent assets to protect them from potential patent infringement assertions. We also provide our clients access to our proprietary patent market intelligence and data.

Our business model aligns our interests with those of our clients. We have not asserted and will not assert our patents, which enables us to develop strong and trusted relationships with our clients. Our clients include companies that design, make or sell technology-based products and services as well as companies that use technology in their businesses.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, revenue grew to \$197.7 million from \$154.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. During 2012, 2011 and 2010, our client count increased by 28, 40, and 49 clients, respectively, bringing our total client network to 140 as of December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2012, we had deferred revenue of \$104.4 million.

We believe that our acquisitions of patent assets are a key driver of the value that we create for our clients. We measure patent asset acquisition spend on both a “gross” and a “net” basis, whereby the “gross spend” represents the aggregate amount spent including amounts contributed by our clients in syndicated and structured acquisitions above and beyond their subscription fees and the “net spend” represents only the net incremental investment of our own capital. For the year ended December 31, 2012, our gross and net acquisition spend totaled \$251.8 million and \$116.4 million, respectively, for which we completed 30 acquisitions of patent assets. From our inception through December 31, 2012, we have completed 120 acquisitions of patent assets with gross and net acquisition spend of \$623.5 million and \$406.3 million, respectively.

Insuring against the costs of NPE litigation is a natural extension of our core defensive patent acquisition service. In 2012, we started to offer NPE patent infringement liability insurance, which is a liability insurance policy for US-based operating companies that covers certain costs associated with patent infringement lawsuits by NPEs. The insurance product complements our core defensive patent acquisition service, enabling policyholders, who must be members of our client network, to better manage and mitigate the risk of NPE patent litigation. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the effect of the insurance policies that have been issued was not material to our results of operations or financial condition.

Key Components of Results of Operations

Revenue

Historically, the vast majority of our revenue has consisted of fees paid by our clients under subscription agreements. We expect that subscription fee revenue will increase with the growth of our client network. Subscription revenue will be positively or negatively impacted by the financial performance of our clients since their subscription fees are typically reset yearly based upon their most recently reported annual financial results. In 2012 we launched our insurance product and we started to recognize revenue from insurance premiums. Although we expect this revenue to increase as we sell more policies in the future, for the year

ended December 31, 2012, revenue from insurance premiums was not material to the Company's results of operations. From time to time, we also recognize revenue from the sale of licenses and advisory fee income in connection with structured acquisitions. In the future, we may receive other revenue and fee income from newly introduced products and services. While we expect to continue to experience revenue growth, we do not believe that our rate of growth since inception is representative of anticipated future revenue growth.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue primarily consists of amortization expenses related to acquired patent assets. Acquired patent assets are capitalized and amortized ratably over their estimated useful lives. Also included in the cost of revenue are expenses incurred to maintain our patents and prosecute our patent applications and amortization expense for acquired intangible assets and internally developed software. With the launch of our insurance offering in 2012, cost of revenue also includes premiums ceded to reinsurers and loss reserves. For the year ending December 31, 2012, such amounts were not material. We expect our cost of revenue to increase in the future as we add additional patent assets to our existing portfolio to support our existing and future clients and as our insurance business grows.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist of salaries and related expenses, including stock-based compensation expenses, costs of marketing programs, legal costs, professional fees, travel costs, facility costs and other corporate expenses. We expect that in the foreseeable future, as we seek to serve more clients and develop new products and services, selling, general and administrative expenses will increase.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income taxes are computed using the asset and liability method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statements and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Based on available information, we believe it is likely that our deferred tax assets will be fully realized. Accordingly, we have not applied a valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, costs and expenses and related disclosures.

We believe that, of our significant accounting policies, which are described in Note 2 of our consolidated financial statements, the following accounting policies involve a greater degree of judgment and complexity. Accordingly, these are the policies we believe are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Revenue Recognition

Our primary source of revenue is fees paid by our clients under subscription agreements. We recognize revenue, net of any discounts or other contractual incentives, when all of the following conditions are met:

- there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement;
- delivery of the subscription or services has commenced;
- the collection of related fees is reasonably assured; and
- the amount of related fees is fixed or determinable.

If a subscription agreement contains contingent or non-standard performance criteria to be met, we defer recognizing revenue until the satisfaction of such conditions. If a subscription agreement contains a discount or other contractual incentive, we recognize revenue net of any such amounts.

Executed agreements are used as evidence of an arrangement. Our subscription agreements are typically non-cancelable by either party and require us to provide membership services over a specific subscription term. Given that delivery occurs with the passage of time, and that our subscription service comprises a single deliverable, we recognize subscription revenue ratably over the term of the subscription agreement. Our cash collectability is reasonably assured as our clients are generally required to pay their subscription fees before we provide the service or within a very short time period thereafter. We assess whether the fee is fixed or determinable based on each client's respective agreement.

Our clients generally receive a term license to, and a release from, all prior damages associated with patent assets in our portfolio. The term license to each patent asset converts to a perpetual license at the end of a contractually specified vesting period provided that the client is a member at such time. We do not view the conversion from a term license to perpetual license to be a separate deliverable in our arrangements with our clients because the utility of, access to and freedom to practice the inventions covered by the patent asset are no different between a term and perpetual license. We do not view providing longer term access to the patent asset as a new deliverable separate from the term license.

In some instances, we accept a payment from a client to finance part or all of the acquisition of patent assets. We refer to such transactions as structured acquisitions. We refer to a structured acquisition where we accept payment from several clients as a syndicated acquisition. The accounting for structured acquisitions is complex and requires significant judgment on the part of our management. In structured acquisitions that result in the purchase of a patent license by a client, we may recognize revenue on a gross basis related to such purchase. In circumstances where we substantively act as an agent to acquire patent assets from a seller on behalf of clients who are paying for such assets separately from their subscription agreements, we may treat the client payments on a net basis. When treated on a net basis, there is no revenue recognized and the basis of the acquired patent assets excludes the amounts paid by the contributing clients based on our determination that we are not the principal in these transactions. In these situations, where we substantively act as an agent, the contributing clients are typically defendants in an active or threatened patent infringement litigation filed by the owner of a patent. Our involvement is to assist our clients to secure a dismissal from litigation and a license to the underlying patents.

Key indicators evaluated to reach the determination that we are not the principal in the transaction include, among others:

- the seller is generally viewed as the primary obligor in the arrangement, given that it owns and controls the underlying patent(s) and thus has the absolute authority to grant and deliver any release from past damages and dismissal from litigation, as well the general terms of the license granted;
- we have no inventory risk as the clients generally enter into their contractual obligations with us prior to or contemporaneous with our entry into a contractual obligation with the seller;
- we generally have limited pricing latitude as client contributions are based on the sales price set by the seller;
- we are not involved in the determination of the product or service specification and have no ability to change the product or perform any part of the service in connection with these transactions, as the seller owns the underlying patent(s); and
- we have limited or no credit risk or substantially mitigated credit risk, as each respective client has a contractually binding obligation to make a contribution, such clients are generally of high credit quality and in many instances we collect the client contribution prior to making a payment to the seller.

In certain structured transactions, we may recognize revenue upon the sale of licenses to specific patent assets and/or upon completion of the rendering of advisory services.

Amortization of Patent Assets

We capitalize the fair value of acquired patent assets as intangible assets. Because each client generally receives a license to the vast majority of our patent assets, we are unable to reliably determine the pattern over which our patent assets are consumed. As a result, we amortize each patent asset on a straight-line basis. The amortization period is equal to the asset's estimated economic useful life. We estimate the economic useful life based upon the period of time over which we expect these assets to contribute directly or indirectly to our future cash flows, generally from 24 months to 60 months. We take into account various factors in making estimates regarding the useful life of our patent assets, including the remaining statutory life of the underlying patent, the applicability of the assets to future clients, the vesting period for current clients to obtain perpetual licenses to such patent assets, any contractual commitments by clients that are related to such patent assets, our estimate of the period of time during which we may sign subscription agreements with prospective clients that may find relevance in the patent assets, the vesting period for which such prospective clients earn the right to a perpetual license in the asset and the remaining contractual term of our existing clients at the time of acquisition. The assessment of many of these factors requires significant management judgment, and changes to these judgments could affect the amortization period of our patent assets and our results of operations. In certain instances, where we acquire patent assets and secure related committed cash flows from clients that extend beyond the statutory life of the underlying patents, the useful life for the additional patent rights may extend beyond the statutory life of the patents. We periodically evaluate our estimates to assess any adjustments that may be required to the remaining useful life of our patent assets.

Accounting for Stock-Based Awards

We account for stock-based compensation under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation* ("ASC 718"). ASC 718 requires that compensation expense for all equity-settled awards made to employees and directors be measured and recognized based on estimated grant date fair values. Our

[Table of Contents](#)

equity awards include stock options and restricted stock units (“RSUs”). Stock-based compensation expense for RSUs granted to employees and directors is measured based on the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

The fair value of stock options granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. This model requires inputs such as expected term, expected volatility and risk-free interest rate. These inputs are subjective and generally require significant analysis and judgment to develop. For all stock options granted to date, we calculated the expected term using the SEC simplified method. We have limited information on our own past volatility and we have a limited operating history. Therefore, we have estimated the volatility data based on a study of publicly traded industry peer companies. For purposes of identifying these peer companies, we considered the industry, stage of development, size, and financial leverage of potential comparable companies. The estimated forfeiture rate is derived primarily from our historical data, and the risk-free interest rate is based on the yield available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues similar in duration to the expected term of our stock options. We recognize the fair value of our equity awards on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period during which the award vests, which is generally four years.

The weighted-average assumptions used to estimate the fair value of stock options granted for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Risk-free interest rate	1.11%	1.44%	2.00%
Expected volatility	61%	59%	59%
Expected dividend yield	—	—	—
Expected term - (in years)	6.0	6.4	6.2

Accounting for Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the asset and liability approach, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets or liabilities for the tax-effected temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of our assets and liabilities and for net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The measurement of deferred tax assets is reduced, if necessary, by the amount of any tax benefits that, based on available evidence, are not expected to be realized.

The calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws and regulations in a multitude of jurisdictions across our global operations. ASC 740 provides that a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position may be recognized when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, on the basis of the technical merits. ASC 740 also provides guidance on measurement, derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition.

We recognize tax liabilities in accordance with ASC 740 and we adjust these liabilities when our judgment changes as a result of the evaluation of new information not previously available. Because of the complexity of some of these uncertainties, the ultimate resolution may result in a payment that is materially different from our current estimate of the tax liabilities. These differences will be reflected as increases or decreases to income tax expense in the period in which new information is available.

The tax expense or benefit for extraordinary items, unusual or infrequently occurring items and items that do not represent a tax effect of current-year ordinary income are treated as discrete items and recorded in the interim period in which the events occur.

Our effective tax rate could be adversely affected by changes in federal, state or foreign tax laws, certain non-deductible expenses arising from stock-based awards and changes in accounting principles. During 2012, the Internal Revenue Service completed its examination of our federal income tax returns for the 2009 and 2008 tax years. In addition, during 2012, the State of California Franchise Tax Board also completed its examination of our California income tax returns for the 2009 and 2008 tax years. The examination did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. The 2008 through 2012 tax periods remain open to examination by the federal and most state tax authorities. For our foreign jurisdictions, the 2009 through 2012 tax years remain open to examination by their respective tax authorities.

Accounting for Business Combinations

We apply the provisions of ASC 805, *Business Combinations* (“ASC 805”), in the accounting for our business acquisitions. ASC 805 requires companies to separately recognize goodwill from the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, which are at their acquisition date fair values. Goodwill as of the acquisition date represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed.

[Table of Contents](#)

We use significant estimates and assumptions, including fair value estimates, to determine fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed and when applicable the related useful lives of the acquired assets, as of the business combination date. When those estimates are provisional, we refine them as necessary during the measurement period. The measurement period is the period after the acquisition date, not to exceed one year, in which we may gather new information about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date to adjust the provisional amounts recognized. Measurement period adjustments are applied retrospectively, if material. All other adjustments are recorded to the consolidated statements of operations.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth selected consolidated statements of operations data for each of the periods indicated (in thousands). Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of our results of operations to be expected for any future period.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Revenue	\$ 197,688	\$ 154,044	\$ 94,874
Cost of revenue	82,323	67,371	43,602
Selling, general and administrative expenses	53,590	40,593	23,917
(Gain) loss on sale of patent assets, net	(177)	—	536
Operating income	61,952	46,080	26,819
Other income (expense), net	117	(723)	(2,764)
Income before provision for income taxes	62,069	45,357	24,055
Provision for income taxes	23,112	16,225	10,184
Net income	\$ 38,957	\$ 29,132	\$ 13,871

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, consolidated statements of operations data as a percentage of revenue.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Revenue	100 %	100 %	100 %
Cost of revenue	42	44	46
Selling, general and administrative expenses	27	26	25
(Gain) loss on sale of patent assets, net	—	—	1
Operating income	31	30	28
Other income (expense), net	—	—	(3)
Income before provision for income taxes	31	30	25
Provision for income taxes	12	11	11
Net income	19 %	19 %	14 %

Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

Revenue

Our revenue for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$197.7 million compared to \$154.0 million during the same period a year ago, an increase of \$43.6 million, or 28%. Subscription revenue, which includes membership subscription services and premiums earned from insurance policies, for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$185.6 million compared to \$150.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in subscription revenue was attributable to an increase in membership fees, which was composed of \$9.6 million from new clients who joined our network during the year ended December 31, 2012, \$9.4 million from clients who joined our network during the year ended December 31, 2011 and \$15.9 million from clients who joined our network prior to December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2012 we had a total client network of 140 companies as compared to 112 as of December 31, 2011. Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2012 also included \$10.0 million and \$2.0 million from the sale of perpetual licenses and advisory fees, respectively, as compared to \$3.3 million and nil in the same period in 2011.

Cost of Revenue

Our cost of revenue for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$82.3 million compared to \$67.4 million during the same period a year ago, an increase of \$15.0 million, or 22%. The prior period amount includes a \$4.0 million charge related to a payment made in lieu of a contingent obligation. Excluding this amount, cost of revenue for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased by \$19.0

million, or 30%. The increase was primarily attributable to an \$18.1 million increase in patent amortization expense as result of an increase in our patent assets.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Our selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$53.6 million compared to \$40.6 million during the same period a year ago, an increase of \$13.0 million or 32%. The increase was primarily due to a \$7.0 million increase in personnel-related costs, including stock-based compensation, attributable to increasing our headcount to 125 employees as of December 31, 2012, compared to 110 employees at December 31, 2011, a \$2.3 million increase in our facility-related costs and depreciation due to leasing of additional office space and increased infrastructure costs associated with the growth of our business; a \$1.2 million increase in our professional fees due to the growth of our business and incremental costs associated with being a public company and a \$1.8 million increase in legal expenses primarily due to the \$2.1 million cost recovery that was recognized for the year ended December 31, 2011 as a result of an incomplete syndicated acquisition that had been expensed in 2010. We expect that in the foreseeable future, selling, general and administrative expenses will increase as we seek to serve more clients, develop new products and services, and support our operations and compliance requirements as a public company.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income (expense), net for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$0.1 million compared to other income (expense), net of (\$0.7 million) during the same period a year ago, a change of \$ 0.8 million . The change was primarily due to the pay off of our outstanding deferred payment obligations. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011 , our outstanding deferred payment obligations were \$0.5 million and \$5.1 million , respectively.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$23.1 million , compared to \$16.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 . Our effective tax rate for year ended December 31, 2012 was 37% compared to 36% for the year ended December 31, 2011 . The increase in our effective tax rate was primarily attributable to a shift in earnings in state jurisdictions, which resulted in an increase in our state income tax expense.

Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Revenue

Our revenue for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$154.0 million compared to \$94.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2010 , an increase of \$59.2 million , or 62% . Subscription revenue for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$150.7 million compared to \$94.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 . The increase in subscription revenue was attributable to an increase in membership fees, which was composed of \$25.8 million from new clients who joined our network during the year ended December 31, 2011 , \$5.5 million from clients who joined our network during the year ended December 31, 2010 and \$24.3 million from clients who joined our network prior to December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2011 we had a total client network of 112 companies as compared to 72 as of December 31, 2010 . Revenue also included \$3.3 million from the sale of a perpetual license during 2011 as compared to other non-subscription revenue of \$0.8 million in 2010.

Cost of Revenue

Our cost of revenue for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$67.4 million compared to \$43.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2010 , an increase of \$23.8 million , or 55% . The increase in cost of revenue was due primarily to additional amortization expense attributable to the increase in our net patent asset balances which were \$163.4 million and \$126.5 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010 , respectively. Amortization expense related to our patent assets was \$62.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$42.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 .

Included in cost of revenue for 2011 was a \$4.0 million charge related to a payment made in lieu of a contingent obligation. In 2008, we entered into a patent purchase agreement with an unaffiliated third party. The terms of the patent purchase agreement stipulated that we make a one-time payment of \$5.0 million in the event we earn \$170.0 million in any calendar year. In October 2011, we amended the patent purchase agreement and paid \$4.0 million to satisfy all remaining obligations.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Our selling, general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$40.6 million compared to \$23.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 , an increase of \$16.7 million , or 70% . The increase was primarily due to a \$13.4 million increase in personnel related costs, including stock-based compensation expense, as our headcount increased to 110 at December 31, 2011, compared to 66 at December 31, 2010. Our facility-related costs, depreciation and other corporate expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011 increased by \$1.9 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 due to leasing of additional office space and increased infrastructure costs associated with the growth of our business. Our professional fees increased by \$1.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 due to growth of our business and incremental costs associated with being a public company.

Corporate expenses related to other taxes, insurance and sales and marketing costs increased \$1.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. Travel and entertainment expenses also increased by \$0.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2011 when compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. These increases were partially offset by a reduction of legal related expenses of \$2.3 million which was primarily attributable to the \$2.1 million cost recovery of professional fees associated with an incomplete syndicated acquisition that had been expensed in 2010.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income (expense), net for the year ended December 31, 2011 was (\$0.7 million) compared to other income (expense), net of (\$2.8 million) during the same period a year ago, a decrease of \$2.0 million . The decrease was primarily due to a reduction in outstanding deferred payment obligations. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010 , our outstanding deferred payment obligations were \$5.1 million and \$23.6 million , respectively.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our tax provision at December 31, 2011 was \$16.2 million , compared to \$10.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 . Our effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2011 was 36% , including the impact of discrete benefit items, compared to 42% for the year ended December 31, 2010 . The decrease in our effective tax rate in 2011 was primarily attributable to the use of a single sales factor for California state income tax apportionment for the 2011 tax year, the first year for which such an election was available.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have financed substantially all of our operations and patent asset acquisitions through the sale of equity securities, subscription fees collected from our clients and patent-seller financing. As of December 31, 2012 , we had \$73.6 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$126.1 million in short-term investments. We believe our existing cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments will be sufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditure needs for the foreseeable future. Our future capital needs will depend on many factors, including, among other things, our acquisition of patent assets, addition and renewal of client membership agreements and development of new products and services. We anticipate an increased level of patent acquisition spending as our business grows. Additionally, we may enter into potential investments in, or acquisitions of, complementary businesses which could require us to seek additional debt or equity financing. Additional funds may not be available on terms favorable to us or at all.

The following table sets forth a summary of our cash flows for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 91,377	\$ 120,348	\$ 120,134
Net cash used in investing activities	(131,630)	(230,629)	(72,361)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	7,142	170,374	(30,045)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$ (33,111)</u>	<u>\$ 60,093</u>	<u>\$ 17,728</u>

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$91.4 million , consisting of adjustments for non-cash items totaling \$91.4 million , net income of \$39.0 million , and changes in working capital and non-current assets and liabilities of \$39.0 million . Non-cash adjustments to net income primarily consisted of \$83.1 million of depreciation and amortization, \$10.3 million of stock-based compensation, a reduction of \$8.6 million due to excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation, \$5.1 million of amortization of premium on investments and a \$1.5 million net increase in our deferred tax assets. The change in working capital resulted primarily from a \$33.8 million increase in other receivables representing client contributions outstanding as a result of the completion of a syndicated patent acquisition and licensing transaction, a \$9.0 million increase in accounts receivable and a \$4.0 million decrease in deferred revenue, partially offset by a \$8.7 million decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets. The decrease in deferred revenue was due to \$185.9 million of revenue and other adjustments recognized during the period and was partially offset by revenue billings to new and existing clients of \$182.0 million. The amount of deferred revenue in any given period varies with the addition of new clients, the mix of payment terms that we offer and the timing of invoicing existing clients.

Cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$120.3 million , consisting of net income of \$29.1 million , adjustments for non-cash items of \$75.6 million and changes in working capital and non-current assets and liabilities totaling \$15.6 million . The change in working capital resulted primarily from an increase in accounts receivable of \$3.5 million , an increase in deferred revenue of \$25.7 million and an increase in accrued liabilities of \$5.2 million . The increase in deferred revenue for the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily attributable to \$179.9 million billed to new and existing clients, offset by \$154.0 million of revenue and other adjustments recognized during the period.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$131.6 million , of which \$87.4 million was used to acquire patent assets, \$45.8 million paid for the business acquisition of Altitude Capital and \$1.7 million was used to purchase property and equipment. This increase was partially offset by \$2.4 million in proceeds from net maturities of short-term marketable securities. We expect our cash used in investing activities to increase in the future as we acquire additional patents.

Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$230.6 million , of which \$101.2 million represented our acquisitions of patent assets and \$124.2 million represented our net purchases of short-term marketable securities. To further enhance our capability for market intelligence and data analysis, we acquired substantially all of the assets of two companies in separate transactions for cash consideration totaling \$3.3 million .

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$7.1 million , the result of excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation of \$8.6 million , proceeds from exercise of stock options of \$3.2 million , and proceeds from other obligations of \$0.5 million , which were partially offset by \$5.2 million of principal payments on our deferred payment obligations.

Net cash provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$170.4 million , the result of proceeds from our initial public offering and follow-on offering, net of issuance costs, of approximately \$184.3 million , offset by the repayment of \$19.3 million of deferred payment obligations.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following summarizes our non-cancelable minimum payments under contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Less Than 1 Year	1 to 3 Years	3 to 5 Years	More Than 5 Years	Total
Lease commitments	\$ 2,733	\$ 8,076	\$ 8,344	\$ 7,886	\$ 27,039

We lease office facilities under non-cancelable operating leases that expire at various dates through 2019. Our facility leases generally require us to pay operating costs, including property taxes, insurance and maintenance.

In March 2012, we entered into an amended lease agreement related to our San Francisco, California office space. The amendment, which takes effect on May 1, 2013, will increase the rentable space to approximately 67,000 total square feet and will extend the term through October 2019. The monthly base rent payments pursuant to this lease will initially be approximately \$0.3 million per month, increasing to approximately \$0.4 million per month. Total future non-cancelable minimum lease payments from May 2013 through October 2019 will be \$26.1 million, which is included in the table above.

Other noncurrent liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets consist primarily of deferred tax liabilities. As of December 31, 2012 , we had net noncurrent deferred tax liabilities of \$18.1 million . At this time, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the timing of payments in individual years in connection with these tax liabilities; therefore, such amounts are not included in the above contractual obligations table.

In the patent sale transactions that we have completed, we agreed to indemnify and hold harmless the buyer for losses resulting from a breach of representations and warranties made by us. The terms of these indemnification agreements are generally perpetual. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unlimited. To date, we have not paid any amounts to settle claims or defend lawsuits. We do not indemnify our clients for patent infringement.

In accordance with our amended and restated bylaws and certain contractual obligations, we also indemnify our Board of Directors and certain officers and employees for certain events or occurrences, subject to certain limits, while the director, officer or employee is or was serving at our request in such capacity. The term of the indemnification period is indefinite. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unspecified. We have no reason to believe that there is any material liability for actions, events or occurrences that have occurred to date.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2012 , we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance-sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

A full description of recent accounting pronouncements, including the expected dates of adoption and estimated effects on results of operations and financial condition can be found under the heading “Significant Accounting Policies” in Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact our financial position due to adverse changes in financial market prices and rates. Our market risk exposure is primarily a result of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. We do not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

Our subscription agreements are denominated in U.S. Dollars, and therefore, our revenue is not currently subject to significant foreign currency risk. Our expenses are incurred primarily in the United States, with a small portion of expenses incurred and denominated in the currencies where our other international offices are located. Our results of operations and cash flows are subject to fluctuations due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, particularly changes in the Japanese Yen and the European Euro relative to the U.S. Dollar. To date, we have not entered into any foreign currency hedging contracts.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

We had cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments of \$199.7 million as of December 31, 2012 . Our cash balances deposited in U.S. banks are non-interest bearing and insured up to the FDIC limits. Cash equivalents consist of institutional money market funds, U.S. Government and agency securities, municipal bonds and commercial paper denominated primarily in U.S. Dollars. Interest rate fluctuations affect the returns on our invested funds.

As of December 31, 2012 , our short-term investments of \$126.1 million were primarily invested in U.S. Government and Agency securities, commercial paper and corporate and municipal bonds maturing between 90 days and 12 months. As of December 31, 2012 , our investments were classified as available-for-sale and, consequently, were recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets with unrealized gains or losses reported as a separate component of stockholders’ equity. We review our investments for impairment when events and circumstances indicate that a decline in the fair value of an asset below its carrying value is other-than-temporary. As of December 31, 2012 , we had not recorded an impairment related to our investments in the consolidated statement of operations.

If overall interest rates had changed by 10% during the year ended December 31, 2012 , the fair value of our investments would not have been materially affected.

Effect of Inflation

We believe that inflation has not had a material impact on our consolidated results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 . There can be no assurance that future inflation will not have an adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We do not have material exposure to market risk with respect to investments, as our investments consist primarily of highly liquid investments that approximate their fair values due to their short period of time to maturity. We do not use derivative financial instruments.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	34
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011	35
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010	36
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010	37
Consolidated Statements of Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010	38
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010	39
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	41

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
RPX Corporation

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, redeemable convertible preferred stock and stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of RPX Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits (which was an integrated audit in 2012). We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

San Jose, California
March 8, 2013

RPX Corporation
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(in thousands, except par value data)

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 73,638	\$ 106,749
Short-term investments	126,092	126,976
Restricted cash	—	500
Accounts receivable	25,144	16,160
Other receivables	33,775	—
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5,237	12,124
Deferred tax assets	7,658	5,192
Total current assets	271,544	267,701
Patent assets, net	199,314	163,352
Property and equipment, net	3,144	2,317
Intangible assets, net	3,226	1,837
Goodwill	16,460	1,675
Restricted cash, less current portion	—	147
Deferred tax assets, less current portion	—	300
Other assets	279	665
Total assets	\$ 493,967	\$ 437,994
Liabilities and stockholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 568	\$ 821
Accrued liabilities	7,206	7,762
Deferred revenue	101,249	96,513
Deferred payment obligations	500	5,056
Other current liabilities	1,813	2,182
Total current liabilities	111,336	112,334
Deferred revenue, less current portion	3,122	11,762
Deferred tax liabilities	18,108	14,695
Other liabilities	1,142	119
Total liabilities	133,708	138,910
Commitments and contingencies (Note 14)		
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value — 200,000 shares authorized; 51,084 and 49,145 issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively	5	5
Additional paid-in capital	281,530	259,315
Retained earnings	78,744	39,787
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(20)	(23)
Total stockholders' equity	360,259	299,084
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 493,967	\$ 437,994

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RPX Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(in thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Revenue	\$ 197,688	\$ 154,044	\$ 94,874
Cost of revenue	82,323	67,371	43,602
Selling, general and administrative expenses	53,590	40,593	23,917
(Gain) loss on sale of patent assets, net	(177)	—	536
Operating income	61,952	46,080	26,819
Other income (expense), net	117	(723)	(2,764)
Income before provision for income taxes	62,069	45,357	24,055
Provision for income taxes	23,112	16,225	10,184
Net income	\$ 38,957	\$ 29,132	\$ 13,871
Net income available to common stockholders:			
Basic	\$ 38,455	\$ 19,697	\$ 1,392
Diluted	\$ 38,474	\$ 20,310	\$ 1,671
Net income per common share:			
Basic	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.24
Diluted	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.57	\$ 0.23
Weighted-average shares used in computing net income per common share:			
Basic	49,766	32,032	5,747
Diluted	51,802	35,920	7,164

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RPX Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net income	\$ 38,957	\$ 29,132	\$ 13,871
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:			
Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities:			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period	15	(23)	—
Less: reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income	(12)	—	—
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	3	(23)	—
Comprehensive income	\$ 38,960	\$ 29,109	\$ 13,871

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RPX Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)
(in thousands, except per share data)

	Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
Balance at December 31, 2009	25,741	\$59,012	11,209	\$ 1	\$ 1,966	\$ (3,216)	\$ —	\$ (1,249)
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	13,871	—	13,871
Issuance of Series C redeemable convertible preferred stock, net of issuance costs of \$20	489	3,781	—	—	—	—	—	—
Issuance of common stock in exchange for assets	—	—	174	—	52	—	—	52
Issuance of restricted stock upon early exercise of options	—	—	50	—	—	—	—	—
Vesting of stock options early exercised	—	—	—	—	25	—	—	25
Stock-based compensation	—	—	—	—	1,246	—	—	1,246
Repurchase of common stock	—	—	(489)	—	(3,238)	—	—	(3,238)
Balance at December 31, 2010	26,230	62,793	10,944	1	51	10,655	—	10,707
Components of comprehensive income, net of tax:								
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	29,132	—	29,132
Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	—	—	—	—	—	—	(23)	(23)
Total comprehensive income								29,109
Issuance of common stock in May 2011 initial public offering at \$19.00 per share, net of issuance costs of \$2,915	—	—	9,065	1	157,256	—	—	157,257
Issuance of common stock in September 2011 follow-on offering at \$20.49 per share, net of issuance costs of \$540	—	—	1,400	—	26,855	—	—	26,855
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options, vesting of restricted stock units and other common stock issuances	—	—	1,269	—	2,835	—	—	2,835
Issuance of restricted stock upon early exercise of options	—	—	237	—	—	—	—	—
Conversion of preferred stock into shares of common stock	(26,230)	(62,793)	26,230	3	62,790	—	—	62,793
Vesting of stock options early exercised	—	—	—	—	102	—	—	102
Stock-based compensation	—	—	—	—	7,106	—	—	7,106
Tax benefit of equity award deductions	—	—	—	—	2,302	—	—	2,302
Other	—	—	—	—	18	—	—	18
Balance at December 31, 2011	—	—	49,145	5	259,315	39,787	(23)	299,084
Components of comprehensive income, net of tax:								
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	38,957	—	38,957
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net of tax	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	3
Total comprehensive income								38,960
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options, vesting of restricted stock units and other common stock issuances	—	—	1,869	—	3,154	—	—	3,154
Issuance of restricted stock upon early exercise of options	—	—	70	—	—	—	—	—
Vesting of options early exercised	—	—	—	—	105	—	—	105
Stock-based compensation	—	—	—	—	10,644	—	—	10,644
Tax benefit of equity award deductions	—	—	—	—	8,317	—	—	8,317
Other	—	—	—	—	(5)	—	—	(5)
Balance at December 31, 2012	—	\$ —	51,084	\$ 5	\$ 281,530	\$ 78,744	\$ (20)	\$ 360,259

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RPX Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income	\$ 38,957	\$ 29,132	\$ 13,871
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	83,137	63,446	43,332
Stock-based compensation	10,334	6,996	1,246
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	(8,574)	(2,302)	—
Imputed interest on deferred payment obligations	94	727	1,649
(Gain) loss on sale of patent assets	(177)	—	536
Amortization of premium on investments	5,131	1,086	—
Deferred taxes	1,477	5,624	7,057
Other	12	8	13
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(8,984)	(3,528)	(5,521)
Other receivables	(33,775)	—	—
Prepaid expenses and other assets	8,667	(12,085)	(6,014)
Accounts payable	(253)	332	(347)
Accrued and other liabilities	(711)	5,198	6,563
Deferred revenue	(3,958)	25,714	57,749
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>91,377</u>	<u>120,348</u>	<u>120,134</u>
Cash flows from investing activities			
Purchases of investments classified as available-for-sale	(185,582)	(202,430)	—
Maturities and sales of investments classified as available-for-sale	188,026	78,246	—
Business acquisitions	(45,765)	(3,345)	—
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash	647	73	(220)
Purchases of intangible assets	(64)	(112)	—
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,726)	(1,971)	(544)
Acquisitions of patent assets	(87,366)	(101,170)	(72,097)
Proceeds from sale of patent assets	200	80	500
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(131,630)</u>	<u>(230,629)</u>	<u>(72,361)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities			
Repayments of principal on deferred payment obligations	(5,150)	(19,254)	(30,471)
Proceeds from other obligations	500	—	—
Proceeds from issuance of Series C redeemable convertible preferred stock, net of issuance costs	—	—	3,781
Proceeds from issuance of common stock in initial public offering, net of issuance costs	—	157,478	(221)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock in follow-on offering, net of issuance costs	—	26,855	—
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and other common stock issuances	3,218	2,993	104
Payments for the purchase of common stock	—	—	(3,238)
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	8,574	2,302	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>7,142</u>	<u>170,374</u>	<u>(30,045)</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(33,111)	60,093	17,728
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	106,749	46,656	28,928
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 73,638</u>	<u>\$ 106,749</u>	<u>\$ 46,656</u>

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information

Cash paid for interest	\$	94	\$	1,216	\$	3,686
Cash paid for income taxes		4,672		9,000		6,110

Non-cash investing and financing activities

Conversion of redeemable convertible preferred stock to common stock	\$	—	\$	62,793	\$	—
Patent assets purchased or financed through notes payable or other deferred payment obligations		—		—		14,542
Change in patent assets purchased and accrued but not paid		1,100		(2,000)		2,000
Change in other assets purchased and accrued but not paid		(250)		—		—
Patent assets and intangible assets received in barter transactions		54		120		—
Costs related to public offerings accrued but not paid		—		(260)		260

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RPX Corporation
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Nature of Business

RPX Corporation (also referred to herein as “RPX” or the “Company”) helps companies reduce patent-related risk and expense by providing a subscription-based patent risk management solution that facilitates more efficient exchanges of value between owners and users of patents compared to transactions driven by actual or threatened litigation. The core of the Company’s solution is defensive patent aggregation, in which it acquires patents or licenses to patents that are being or may be asserted against the Company’s current or prospective clients. The Company may occasionally enter into agreements to acquire covenants not to sue in order to further mitigate its clients’ litigation risk. The acquired patents, licenses to patents and agreements for covenants not to sue are collectively referred to as “patent assets.” The Company’s clients pay an annual subscription fee and in return, receive a license from the Company to substantially all of its patent assets and access to its proprietary patent market intelligence and data. In addition to the Company’s core solution, during the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company began underwriting patent infringement liability insurance policies to insure against certain costs of litigation from non-practicing entities (“NPEs”). The effect of the insurance policies that have been issued has been insignificant to the Company’s results of operations or financial condition for the year ended December 31, 2012.

2. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“U.S. GAAP”) and include the accounts of RPX and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated. Management performed an evaluation of the Company’s activities through the date of filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and has concluded that there are no subsequent events requiring disclosure through that date.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, contingent assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period covered by the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. The Company bases its estimates on various factors and information which may include, but are not limited to, history and prior experience, expected future results, new related events and current economic conditions, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Significant estimates and assumptions made by management include the determination of:

- the estimated economic useful lives of patent assets;
- the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed for business combinations;
- recognition and measurement of current and deferred income taxes; and
- the fair value of stock awards issued.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 605, *Revenue Recognition* (“ASC 605”) and related authoritative guidance. The primary source of the Company’s revenue is fees paid by its clients under subscription agreements. The Company believes that its patent risk management solution comprises a single deliverable and thus the Company recognizes each subscription fee ratably over the period for which the fee applies. Revenue is recognized net of any discounts or other contractual incentives. The Company starts recognizing revenue when all of the following criteria have been met:

- *Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists.* All subscription fees are supported by a dually executed subscription agreement.
- *Delivery has occurred or services have been rendered.* The subscription agreement calls for the Company to provide its patent risk management solution over a specific term commencing on the agreement effective date. Because services are not on an individualized basis (*i.e.* , the Company generally performs its services on behalf of all of its clients as opposed to each client individually), delivery occurs automatically with the passage of time. Consequently, the Company recognizes subscription revenue ratably.
- *Seller’s price to the buyer is fixed or determinable.* Each client’s annual subscription fee is generally based on the Company’s fee schedule in effect at the time of the client’s initial agreement. A client’s subscription fee is generally determined using its fee schedule and its normalized operating income, which is defined as the greater of (i) the average of

its operating income for the three most recently reported fiscal years and (ii) 5% of its revenue for the most recently reported fiscal year. The fee for the first year of the agreement is typically determined and invoiced at the time of contract execution. The fee for each subsequent year of the agreement is generally calculated and invoiced in advance prior to each anniversary date of the agreement.

- *Collectability is reasonably assured.* Subscription fees are generally collected on or near the effective date of the agreement and again at or near each anniversary date thereof. The Company does not recognize revenue in instances where collectability is not reasonably assured. Generally, the Company's subscription agreements state that all fees paid are non-refundable.

In some limited instances, the subscription agreement includes a contingency clause, giving one or both parties an option to terminate the agreement and receive a full refund if contingencies are not resolved within a defined time period. In those instances, revenue will not be recognized until all contingencies have been removed. The revenue earned during the period between the effective date of the agreement and the contingency removal date is recognized on the contingency removal date. Thereafter, revenue is recognized ratably over the remaining subscription term.

The Company's clients generally receive a term license to, and a release from, all prior damages associated with patent assets in the Company's portfolio. The term license to each patent asset converts to a perpetual license at the end of a contractually specified vesting period, provided that the client is a member at such time. The Company does not view the conversion from term license to perpetual license to be a separate deliverable in its arrangements with its clients because the utility of, access to and freedom to practice the inventions covered by the patent asset is no different between a term and perpetual license.

In some instances, the Company accepts a payment from a client to finance part or all of an acquisition. The Company refers to such transactions as structured acquisitions. Structured acquisitions where the Company accepts payment from several clients is referred to as a syndicated acquisition. The accounting for structured acquisitions is complex and often requires judgments on the part of management as to the appropriate accounting treatment. In accordance with ASC 605-45, *Revenue Recognition: Principal Agent Considerations*, in instances where the Company substantively acts as an agent to acquire patent rights from a seller on behalf of clients who are paying for such rights separately from their subscription agreements, the Company may treat the client payments on a net basis. When treated on a net basis, there may be little or no revenue recognized for such contributions, and the basis of the acquired patent rights may exclude the amounts paid by the contributing client based on the Company's determination that it is not the principal in these transactions. In these situations, where the Company substantively acts as an agent, the contributing clients are typically defendants in an active or threatened patent infringement litigation filed by the owner of a patent. The Company's involvement is to assist its clients to secure a dismissal from litigation and a license to the underlying patents.

Key indicators evaluated to reach the determination that the Company is not the principal in the transaction include, among others:

- the seller of the patent assets is generally viewed as the primary obligor in the arrangement, given that it owns and controls the underlying patent(s) and thus has the absolute authority to grant and deliver any release from past damages and dismissal from litigation, as well the general terms of the license granted;
- the Company has no inventory risk, as the clients generally enter into their contractual obligations with the Company prior to or contemporaneous to the Company entering into its contractual obligation with the seller;
- the Company generally has limited pricing latitude as client contributions are based on the sales price set by the seller;
- the Company is not involved in the determination of the product or service specification and has no ability to change the product or perform any part of the service in connection with these transactions, as the seller owns the underlying patent(s); and
- the Company has limited or no credit risk, as each respective client has a contractually binding obligation, such clients are generally of high credit quality and in many instances the Company collects the client contribution prior to making a payment to the seller.

In certain structured transactions, the Company may recognize revenue upon the sale of licenses to specific patent assets and/or upon completion of the rendering of advisory services.

Accounting for Payments to Clients

The Company occasionally agrees to provide payments, discounts or other contractual incentives to clients in exchange for specified consideration. The Company accounts for such contract provisions in accordance with ASC 605-50, *Revenue Recognition: Customer Payments and Incentives*, which requires the Company to offset the amount of the payment, discount or other contractual incentive against revenue if the Company is unable to demonstrate both receipt of an identifiable benefit and determine the fair value of the benefit received.

Deferred Revenue

The Company generally invoices its clients upon execution of a new agreement and prior to any payment date for existing agreements. The Company records the amount of fees billed as deferred revenue and recognizes such amounts as revenue ratably over the period for which they apply. The Company generally records deferred revenue when it has the legal right to bill amounts owed. In the rare instance where a term has commenced but the fees have not yet been invoiced, the Company records an unbilled receivable. Deferred revenue that will be recognized during the succeeding 12-month period from the respective balance sheet date is recorded as deferred revenue, current, and the remaining portion is recorded as non-current.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable primarily includes amounts billed to clients under their subscription agreements. The majority of the Company's clients are well-established operating companies with investment-grade credit. For the periods ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company has not incurred any losses on its accounts receivable. Based upon its historical collections experience and specific client information, the Company has determined that no allowance for doubtful accounts was required at either December 31, 2012 or 2011.

Concentration of Risk

The Company is subject to concentrations of credit risk principally attributable to cash, cash equivalents, investments, accounts receivable and other receivables. The Company's cash balances (both, restricted and non-restricted) deposited in U.S. banks are non-interest bearing and are insured up to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") limits. Cash equivalents primarily consist of institutional money market funds, U.S. government and agency securities, municipal bonds and commercial paper denominated primarily in U.S. dollars. Investment policies have been implemented that limit purchases of debt securities to investment-grade securities.

Credit risk with respect to accounts and other receivables is generally mitigated by short collection periods and/or subscription agreements that provide for payments in advance of the rendering of services. Three clients accounted for 22%, 18% and 13% of accounts receivable at December 31, 2012. Four clients accounted for 26%, 20%, 14% and 12% of accounts receivable at December 31, 2011. Two clients, neither of which was represented in the accounts receivable balance at December 31, 2012, accounted for 62% and 38% of other receivables at December 31, 2012. No client accounted for more than 10% of subscription fee revenue in any of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 or 2010.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company applies fair value accounting for all financial assets and liabilities and non-financial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. The Company defines fair value as the price that would be received from selling an asset or that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities, which are required to be recorded at fair value, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which the Company would transact and the market-based risk measurements or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, such as risks inherent in valuation techniques, transfer restrictions and credit risk. Fair value is estimated by applying the following hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value into three levels and bases the categorization within the hierarchy upon the lowest level of input that is available and significant to the fair value measurement:

Level 1 – Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and readily accessible by the Company at the reporting date.

Level 2 – Valuations based on inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for assets or liabilities, either directly or indirectly through market corroboration, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 – Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable.

The carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments, which include cash equivalents, investments, accounts receivable, other receivables and accounts payable, approximate their fair values due to their short maturities. Based on borrowing rates currently available to the Company for notes payable and other deferred payment obligations with similar terms, and considering the Company's credit risk, the carrying value of deferred payment obligations approximates fair value.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company's cash and cash equivalents principally consist of institutional money market funds, corporate bonds, municipal bonds and commercial paper denominated primarily in U.S. dollars. Cash equivalents are highly liquid, short-term investments having an original maturity of 90 days or less that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash.

Short-Term Investments

The Company holds short-term investments in U.S. government and agency securities, commercial paper corporate bonds, and municipal bonds with maturities greater than 90 days. The Company considers its investments as available to support current operations. As a result, the Company classifies its investments including those with stated maturities beyond twelve months, as current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The Company classifies these securities as “available-for-sale” and carries them at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets. Unrealized gains or losses are recorded, net of estimated taxes, in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a component of stockholders’ equity. Realized gains and losses are recognized upon sale. The specific identification method is used to determine the cost basis of fixed income securities sold.

The Company periodically evaluates its investments for impairment due to declines in market value considered to be “other-than-temporary.” This evaluation consists of several qualitative and quantitative factors, including the Company’s ability and intent to hold the investment until a forecasted recovery occurs, as well as any decline in the investment quality of the security and the severity and duration of the unrealized loss. In the event of a determination that a decline in market value is other-than-temporary, the Company will recognize an impairment loss, and a new cost basis in the investment will be established. To date, the Company has not recorded any impairment related to its investments in its consolidated statements of operations.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using a straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, which is generally three to five years. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred, and improvements and betterments are capitalized. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the consolidated balance sheet and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in the consolidated statement of operations in the period realized. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease, or the useful life of the assets, whichever is shorter.

Internal-Use Software and Website Development Costs

The Company capitalizes development costs related to internal-use software and its website and records such amounts as property and equipment, net, on its consolidated balance sheets. These costs include personnel and personnel-related expenses and consultant fees incurred during the application development stages of the project. Costs related to preliminary project activities, minor enhancement and maintenance, and post implementation activities are expensed as incurred. Internal-use software is amortized on a straight-line basis over its useful life, which is generally three years, beginning on the date the software is placed into service. Management evaluates the useful lives of these assets on an annual basis and tests for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances occur that could impact the recoverability of these assets.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 , the Company capitalized \$1.9 million and \$1.2 million , respectively, of internal-use software and website development costs. Amortization of internal-use software was \$0.8 million , \$0.1 million and nil for the years ended December 31, 2012 , 2011 and 2010 , respectively.

Patent Assets

The Company generally acquires patent assets from third parties using cash and contractually deferred payments. Patent assets are recorded at fair value. The fair value of the assets acquired is generally based on the fair value of the consideration exchanged. The asset value includes the cost of legal and other fees associated with the acquisition of the assets. Costs incurred to maintain and prosecute patents and patent applications are expensed as incurred.

Because each client generally receives a license to the vast majority of the Company’s patent assets, the Company is unable to reliably determine the pattern over which its patent assets are consumed. As a result, the Company amortizes each patent asset on a straight-line basis. The amortization period is equal to the asset’s estimated economic useful life. Estimating the economic useful life of patent assets requires significant management judgment. The Company considers various factors in estimating the economic useful lives of its patent assets, including the remaining statutory life of the underlying patents, the applicability of the assets to future clients, the vesting period for current clients to obtain perpetual licenses to such patent assets, any contractual commitments by clients that are related to such patent assets, its estimate of the period of time during which the Company may sign subscription agreements with prospective clients that may find relevance in the patent assets, the vesting period for which such clients earn the right to a perpetual license in the asset and the remaining contractual term of the Company’s existing clients at the time of acquisition. In certain instances, where the Company acquires patent assets and secures related client committed cash flows that extend beyond the statutory life of the underlying patents, the useful life may extend beyond the statutory life of the patents. As of December 31, 2012 , the estimated economic useful life of the Company’s patent assets generally ranged from 24 to 60 months , and the weighted-average estimated economic useful life at the time of acquisition, excluding fully amortized patent assets, was 46 months . The Company

periodically evaluates whether events and circumstances have occurred that may warrant a revision to the remaining estimated useful life of its patent assets.

In instances where the Company sells patent assets, the amount of consideration received is compared to the asset's carrying value to determine and recognize a gain or loss.

Patent Asset Financing

The Company may use seller financing in the form of notes payable or contractually deferred payments for acquisitions of patent assets. In such cases, the acquired assets may serve as collateral or be otherwise encumbered during the term of the financing. Contractual amounts owed under such financing arrangements are recorded at fair value using a market rate of interest. If such financing arrangements do not have a stated interest rate, the Company imputes interest at a market rate (thereby discounting the future payments to present value) to account for the time-value-of-money component of the asset purchase. The difference between the contractual amounts due and the present value is recognized as interest expense over the period the payments are due. The Company records a corresponding patent asset for any such contractual obligations. Amounts due within approximately one year of the date of the acquisition under financing arrangements with no stated interest rate are recorded at face value. The interest component is imputed, if necessary, at the time of acquisition by using the then-current market yield of an index of comparable maturity securities with a credit rating comparable to that of the Company.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company assesses the recoverability of its long-lived assets, which includes patent assets, other intangible assets and property and equipment, when events or changes in circumstances indicate their carrying value may not be recoverable. Such events or changes in circumstances may include: a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which a long-lived asset is being used, a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate that could affect the value of a long-lived asset, an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition or development of a long-lived asset, current or future operating or cash flow losses that demonstrate continuing losses associated with the use of a long-lived asset or a current expectation that, more-likely-than-not, a long-lived asset will be sold or otherwise disposed of significantly before the end of its previously estimated useful life. The Company licenses the portfolio of patent assets to all of its clients and thus views these assets as a single asset group. The Company assesses recoverability of a long-lived asset by determining whether the carrying value of these assets can be recovered through projected undiscounted cash flows. If the carrying value of the assets exceeds the forecasted undiscounted cash flows, an impairment loss is recognized, and is recorded as the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value. An impairment loss is charged to operations in the period in which management determines such impairment. To date, there have been no impairments of long-lived assets identified.

Assets Held for Sale

The Company classifies assets as held for sale when certain criteria are met, including: management's commitment to a plan to sell the assets; the availability of the assets for immediate sale in their present condition; whether an active program to locate buyers and other actions to sell the assets has been initiated; whether the sale of the assets is probable and their transfer is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year; whether the assets are being marketed at reasonable prices in relation to their fair value; and how likely it is that significant changes will be made to the plan to sell the assets. There were no assets held for sale at December 31, 2012 or 2011 .

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired in a business combination. The Company evaluates goodwill for impairment on an annual basis during its third fiscal quarter or whenever events and changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

In accordance with ASC Topic 350, *Intangibles - Goodwill & Other* ("ASC Topic 350"), the goodwill impairment test consists of a two-step process, if necessary. The Company first assesses qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test described in ASC Topic 350. The more likely than not threshold is defined as having a likelihood of more than 50 percent. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, the Company determines that it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary and goodwill is considered to be unimpaired. However, if based on the Company's qualitative assessment, it concludes that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the Company will proceed with performing the two-step process.

The first step of the impairment test involves comparing the fair value of the reporting unit to its net book value, including goodwill. As of December 31, 2012 , the Company had one reporting unit. If the net book value exceeds its fair value, then the Company would perform the second step of the goodwill impairment test to determine the amount of the impairment loss. The

impairment loss would be calculated by comparing the implied fair value of the Company to its net book value. In calculating the implied fair value of the Company's goodwill, the fair value of the Company would be allocated to all of the other assets and liabilities based on their fair values. The excess of the fair value of the Company over the amount assigned to its other assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill. An impairment loss would be recognized when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. There have been no impairments of goodwill recorded in the Company's consolidated statements of operations through December 31, 2012 .

Intangible Assets, Net

Intangible assets, net primarily consists of intangible assets acquired from other companies as a result of acquisitions. Such assets are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. Intangible assets, net excludes patent related intangible assets, which are recorded within patent assets, net in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Advertising Costs

The Company expenses advertising costs as they are incurred. Advertising expenses were not material for any of the periods presented.

Foreign Currency Accounting

The Company's subscription agreements are denominated in U.S. dollars; however, several of the Company's clients report revenue and operating income in foreign currencies. As subscription fees are determined using the reported revenue and operating income of the Company's clients, a significant sustained change in exchange rates could result in a change in subscription fees from clients reporting revenue and operating income in a currency other than the U.S. dollar. The Company's expenses are incurred primarily in the United States, with a small portion of expenses incurred and denominated in Japanese Yen and the Euro. The functional currency of the Company's international subsidiaries is the U.S. dollar. Monetary assets and liabilities are remeasured using the current exchange rate at the balance sheet date. Non-monetary assets and liabilities and capital accounts are remeasured using historical exchange rates. Expenses are remeasured using the average exchange rates in effect during the period. Foreign currency exchange gains and losses, which have not been material for any periods presented, are included in the consolidated statements of operations under other income (expense), net.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using an asset and liability approach, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets or liabilities for the tax-effected temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of its assets and liabilities and for net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The measurement of deferred tax assets is reduced, if necessary, by the amount of any tax benefits that, based on available evidence, are not expected to be realized.

The Company assesses the likelihood that its deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income, and to the extent the Company believes that recovery is not likely, the Company establishes a valuation allowance. Judgment is required in determining the Company's provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets, and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against the net deferred tax assets. The Company had not applied a valuation allowance against its deferred tax balances for the years ended December 31, 2012 or 2011 .

The calculation of the Company's tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws and regulations in a multitude of jurisdictions across its global operations. ASC 740 provides that a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position may be recognized when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, on the basis of the technical merits. ASC 740 also provides guidance on measurement, derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

The Company recognizes tax liabilities in accordance with ASC 740 and adjusts these liabilities when its judgment changes as a result of the evaluation of new information not previously available. Because of the complexity of some of these uncertainties, the ultimate resolution may result in a payment that is materially different from its current estimate of the tax liabilities. These differences will be reflected as increases or decreases to income tax expense in the period in which new information is available.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation under ASC 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation* ("ASC 718"). ASC 718 requires that compensation expense for all equity-settled awards made to employees and directors be measured and recognized based on estimated grant date fair values. These equity awards include stock options and restricted stock units ("RSUs"). Stock-based compensation expense for RSUs granted to employees and directors is measured based on the fair market value of the Company's

common stock on the date of grant. Stock-based compensation expense for stock options awarded to employees and directors is estimated based on the fair value of each stock option using an option pricing model. The value of awards expected to vest is recognized as expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation for equity-settled awards issued to non-employees in exchange for goods and services under ASC 505-50, *Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees* (“ASC 505-50”). ASC 505-50 requires that equity awards issued to non-employees be measured at the fair value as of the date at which either the commitment for performance by the non-employee to earn the award is reached or the date the non-employee’s performance is complete. Until that point is reached, the award must be revalued at each reporting period with the true-up to expense recorded in the then current period earnings. The value of the award is recognized as an expense over the requisite service period.

The Company selected the Black-Scholes option pricing model as the most appropriate method for determining the estimated fair value for stock options. The Black-Scholes model requires the use of highly subjective and complex assumptions which determine the fair value of stock-based awards, including the option’s expected term and the price volatility of the underlying stock. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. See Note 15.

Business Combinations

The Company applies the provisions of ASC 805, *Business Combinations* (“ASC 805”), in the accounting for its business acquisitions. ASC 805 requires companies to recognize goodwill separately from the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, which are valued at their acquisition date fair values. Goodwill as of the acquisition date represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed.

The Company uses significant estimates and assumptions, including fair value estimates, to determine fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed and when applicable the related useful lives of the acquired assets, as of the business combination date. When those estimates are provisional, the Company refines them as necessary during the measurement period. The measurement period is the period after the acquisition date, not to exceed one year, in which the Company may gather new information about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date to adjust the provisional amounts recognized. Measurement period adjustments are applied retrospectively, if material. All other adjustments are recorded to the consolidated statements of operations.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2013-02, *Reporting of Amounts of Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income* (“ASU 2013-02”), which amends *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)* in the ASC. These amendments require an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income. The amendments in ASU 2013-02 will be effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2013-02 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s financial position or results of operations.

In October 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-04, *Technical Corrections and Improvements* (“ASU 2012-04”), which amends a wide range of topics in the ASC. These amendments include technical corrections and improvements to the ASC and conforming amendments related to fair value measurements. The amendments in ASU 2012-04 will be effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2012-04 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s financial position or results of operations.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment* (“ASU 2012-02”), which amends ASU 2011-08, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment* and permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test in accordance with Subtopic 350-30, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other - General Intangibles Other than Goodwill*. The amendments are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim impairment tests performed as of a date before July 27, 2012, if a public entity’s financial statements for the most recent annual or interim period have not yet been issued or, for nonpublic entities, have not yet been made available for issuance. The adoption of ASU 2012-02 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s financial position or results of operations.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In August 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-03, *Technical Amendments and Corrections to SEC Sections: Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 114 (“SAB 114”), Technical Amendments Pursuant to SEC Release No.*

33-9250, and Corrections Related to FASB Accounting Standards Update 2010-22 , which amends various SEC paragraphs pursuant to the issuance of SAB114. This ASU became effective upon issuance, and the adoption of this ASU had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income* ("ASU 2011-05"), which requires companies to present the components of net income and other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. ASU 2011-05 does not change the items which must be reported in other comprehensive income, how such items are measured or when they must be reclassified to net income. Additionally, ASU 2011-05 does not affect the calculation or reporting of earnings per share. On January 1, 2012, the Company adopted this ASU and elected the two-statement presentation option. Other than the change in presentation, the adoption of this ASU had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

3. Net Income Available to Common Stockholders

Basic and diluted net income per share available to common stockholders are presented in conformity with the two-class method required for participating securities. Upon the Company's initial public offering in May 2011, all shares of the Company's redeemable convertible preferred stock were converted to common stock. Prior to the initial public offering, holders of shares of Series A, Series A-1, Series B and Series C redeemable convertible preferred stock were each entitled to receive 8% per annum non-cumulative dividends, payable prior and in preference to any dividends on common stock. In addition, the holders of restricted common stock are entitled to receive non-forfeitable dividends if declared.

Under the two-class method, basic net income per share available to common stockholders is computed by dividing the net income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Net income available to common stockholders is determined by allocating undistributed earnings, calculated as net income less current period shares of Series A, Series A-1, Series B and Series C redeemable convertible preferred stock non-cumulative dividends, among common stockholders, restricted stockholders and Series A, Series A-1, Series B and Series C redeemable convertible preferred stockholders. Diluted net income per share available to common stockholders is computed by using the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding, including potential dilutive shares of common stock, assuming the dilutive effect of outstanding stock options and restricted stock units using the treasury stock method.

[Table of Contents](#)

The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted net income per share available to common stockholders:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
(in thousands, except per share data)			
Net income available to common stockholders:			
Numerator:			
Basic:			
Net income	\$ 38,957	\$ 29,132	\$ 13,871
Allocation of net income to participating stockholders	(502)	(9,435)	(12,479)
Net income available to common stockholders – basic	<u>\$ 38,455</u>	<u>\$ 19,697</u>	<u>\$ 1,392</u>
Diluted:			
Net income available to common stockholders – basic	\$ 38,455	\$ 19,697	\$ 1,392
Undistributed earnings re-allocated to common stockholders	19	613	279
Net income available to common stockholders – diluted	<u>\$ 38,474</u>	<u>\$ 20,310</u>	<u>\$ 1,671</u>
Denominator:			
Basic shares:			
Weighted-average shares used in computing basic net income available to common stockholders	<u>49,766</u>	<u>32,032</u>	<u>5,747</u>
Diluted shares:			
Weighted-average shares used in computing basic net income available to common stockholders	49,766	32,032	5,747
Dilutive effect of stock options using treasury-stock method	2,036	3,888	1,417
Weighted-average shares used in computing diluted net income available to common stockholders	<u>51,802</u>	<u>35,920</u>	<u>7,164</u>
Net income per share:			
Basic	<u>\$ 0.77</u>	<u>\$ 0.61</u>	<u>\$ 0.24</u>
Diluted	<u>\$ 0.74</u>	<u>\$ 0.57</u>	<u>\$ 0.23</u>

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 the following securities were not included in the calculation of diluted shares outstanding, as the effect would have been anti-dilutive (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Weighted-average:			
Shares of redeemable convertible preferred stock	—	9,270	25,810
Shares of common stock subject to repurchase	649	3,114	5,529
Stock options outstanding	2,672	520	—
Restricted stock units outstanding	395	76	—

4. Fair Value Measurements

The following tables present the financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	December 31, 2012		
	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2
Cash equivalents			
Money market funds	\$ 14,670	\$ 14,670	\$ —
Commercial paper	19,800	—	19,800
Municipal bonds	3,992	—	3,992
U.S. government and agency securities	9,000	—	9,000
	<u>\$ 47,462</u>	<u>\$ 14,670</u>	<u>\$ 32,792</u>
Short-term investments			
Commercial paper	\$ 13,697	\$ —	\$ 13,697
Municipal bonds	105,515	—	105,515
Corporate bonds	6,880	—	6,880
	<u>\$ 126,092</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 126,092</u>
	December 31, 2011		
	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2
Cash equivalents			
Money market funds	\$ 21,504	\$ 21,504	\$ —
Commercial paper	24,405	—	24,405
Municipal bonds	8,385	—	8,385
Corporate bonds	2,045	—	2,045
	<u>\$ 56,339</u>	<u>\$ 21,504</u>	<u>\$ 34,835</u>
Short-term investments			
Commercial paper	\$ 4,850	\$ —	\$ 4,850
Municipal bonds	65,675	—	65,675
Corporate bonds	6,828	—	6,828
U.S. government and agency securities	49,623	—	49,623
	<u>\$ 126,976</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 126,976</u>

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company did not use level 3 inputs to measure financial assets or liabilities at fair value.

5. Short-term Investments

The following table summarizes the Company's investments in available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	December 31, 2012			
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized		Estimated Fair Value
		Gains	Losses	
Commercial paper	\$ 13,697	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 13,697
Municipal bonds	105,536	1	(22)	105,515
Corporate bonds	6,880	—	—	6,880
	<u>\$ 126,113</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ (22)</u>	<u>\$ 126,092</u>

	December 31, 2011			
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized		Estimated Fair Value
		Gains	Losses	
Money market funds	\$ 21,504	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 21,504
Commercial paper	29,255	—	—	29,255
Municipal bonds	74,075	3	(18)	74,060
Corporate bonds	8,887	—	(14)	8,873
U.S. government and agency securities	49,615	8	—	49,623
	<u>\$ 183,336</u>	<u>\$ 11</u>	<u>\$ (32)</u>	<u>\$ 183,315</u>

Available-for-sale securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, included as a separate component of stockholders' equity within accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are included in other income (expense), net in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

The weighted-average remaining maturity of the Company's investment portfolio was less than one year as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. As of December 31, 2012, no individual securities incurred continuous unrealized losses for greater than 12 months.

6. Other Receivables

In December 2012, the Company completed a syndicated patent acquisition and licensing transaction. The Company secured licenses under the patent portfolio, as well as dismissals from pending patent litigation for nine of the Company's clients that participated in the transaction. As of December 31, 2012 there were \$33.8 million of contributions outstanding and recorded as other receivables. The contributions were collected in full in January 2013.

7. Patent Assets, Net

Patent assets, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Balance as of December 31, 2011	Additions	Patent assets acquired in business combination	Sale	Balance as of December 31, 2012
Patent assets	\$ 287,555	\$ 88,520	\$ 27,850	\$ (50)	\$ 403,875
Accumulated amortization	(124,203)	(80,385)	—	27	(204,561)
Patent assets, net	<u>\$ 163,352</u>				<u>\$ 199,314</u>

	Balance as of December 31, 2010	Additions	Balance as of December 31, 2011
Patent assets	\$ 188,384	\$ 99,171	\$ 287,555
Accumulated amortization	(61,876)	(62,327)	(124,203)
Patent assets, net	<u>\$ 126,508</u>		<u>\$ 163,352</u>

The Company's acquired patent assets relate to technologies used or supplied by companies in a variety of market sectors, including consumer electronics, e-commerce, financial services, media distribution, mobile communications, networking,

[Table of Contents](#)

semiconductors, and software. The Company amortizes each acquired portfolio of patent assets on a straight-line basis over its estimated economic useful life. As of December 31, 2012, the estimated economic useful lives of the Company's patent assets generally ranged from 24 to 60 months. As of December 31, 2012, the weighted-average estimated economic useful life at the time of acquisition, excluding fully amortized patent assets, was 46 months.

The following table summarizes the expected future annual amortization expense of patent assets as of December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

2013	\$	79,336
2014		54,287
2015		40,019
2016		21,706
2017		3,966
Total estimated future amortization expense	\$	<u>199,314</u>

Amortization expense was \$80.4 million, \$62.3 million and \$42.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Structured Acquisitions

Structured acquisitions are transactions involving patent assets that may cost more than the Company is prepared to spend with its own capital resources or that are relevant only to a very small number of clients. In such transactions, the Company may work to acquire these assets with financial assistance from the particular clients against whom they are being or may be asserted. Such clients either pay amounts separate from their subscription fee or, less frequently, lend the Company funds to be used in the transaction. As discussed in the revenue recognition policy in Note 2, the Company may treat the contributions from such clients as revenue on a gross or net basis depending on the specific facts and circumstances of the transaction. In the event that such contributions are recognized on a net basis, the Company will only capitalize the acquired asset that relates to its non-contributing clients. As a result, in such situations the cost basis of the acquired patent rights excludes the amounts paid by the contributing clients.

8. Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment, net, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Computer, equipment and software	\$ 960	\$ 666
Internal-use software	3,078	1,221
Furniture and fixtures	662	611
Leasehold improvements	162	139
Work-in-progress	15	178
Total property and equipment, gross	4,877	2,815
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(1,733)	(498)
Total property and equipment, net	<u>\$ 3,144</u>	<u>\$ 2,317</u>

Depreciation and amortization expense was \$1.3 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Stock-based compensation capitalized as part of the cost of internal-use software was \$0.3 million, \$0.1 million, and nil for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

9. Business Combinations

On March 30, 2012, the Company entered into a number of agreements with Digtude Innovations, LLC ("Digtude"), Preservation Technologies LLC ("Preservation"), and Robert and Susan Kramer (collectively, the "Agreements"). The Agreements were subject to closing conditions that were satisfied on April 19, 2012. Pursuant to the Agreements, the Company paid \$45.8 million and acquired, among other things, (i) certain patents, patent rights and a covenant not to sue and (ii) all of the issued and outstanding membership interests in Altitude Capital Management LLC.

[Table of Contents](#)

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the components of identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition (in thousands):

Patent assets	\$ 27,850
Proprietary data and models	1,500
Trademark	1,000
Covenant not to compete	400
Deferred tax asset	8,373
Deferred tax liability	(8,143)
Goodwill	14,785
Net assets acquired	<u>\$ 45,765</u>

The fair values assigned to identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on management's estimates and assumptions. The excess of purchase consideration over the fair value of identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed was recorded as goodwill. Patent assets represent the ownership or rights to more than 500 U.S. (and more than 50 non-U.S.) patents that were held by Digtitude, certain sub-license rights to patents licensed exclusively by Preservation and a covenant not to sue entered into with Robert Kramer. The patent assets have an estimated weighted-average life of 55 months. The portfolios acquired cover a broad range of technologies including mobile handsets, TVs, cameras, PCs, media players, content delivery, video-on-demand, internet streaming, and enterprise networks and have increased the Company's total portfolio of patent assets by more than 30%. Proprietary data and models primarily consist of specialized data and processes related to patent analysis and valuation methodologies. These assets have an estimated weighted-average useful life of 48 months. Trademark represents the value of the Altitude Capital trademark with an estimated useful life of 48 months. The covenant not to compete represents certain restrictive covenants pursuant to which Robert Kramer has agreed to refrain from competing against any of the Company's lines of business for a period of 21 months. Goodwill recorded as a result of this acquisition is primarily related to enhancing the Company's position as a market leader capable of executing highly complex structured acquisitions. The value of goodwill is deductible for tax purposes.

Under ASC 805-10, acquisition-related costs are not included as a component of consideration transferred but are required to be expensed as incurred. Acquisition-related costs were \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and are included in selling, general and administrative expenses. Pro forma results of operations reflecting the acquisition have not been presented because the effect of the acquisition is not material to the Company's results of operations.

10. Goodwill

The changes in the carrying amounts of goodwill were as follows (in thousands):

	2012	2011
Balance as of January 1,	\$ 1,675	\$ —
Goodwill from acquisitions	14,785	1,675
Balance as of December 31,	<u>\$ 16,460</u>	<u>\$ 1,675</u>

11. Intangible Assets, Net

Intangible assets, net, as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Trademarks	\$ 1,890	\$ (637)	\$ 1,253	\$ 890	\$ (165)	\$ 725
Proprietary data and models	1,500	(264)	1,236	—	—	—
Developed technology	728	(380)	348	665	(128)	537
Covenant not to compete	480	(222)	258	80	(22)	58
Customer relationships	250	(132)	118	250	(49)	201
Other intangible assets	1,450	(1,450)	—	1,450	(1,229)	221
Intangible assets in-progress	13	—	13	95	—	95
	<u>\$ 6,311</u>	<u>\$ (3,085)</u>	<u>\$ 3,226</u>	<u>\$ 3,430</u>	<u>\$ (1,593)</u>	<u>\$ 1,837</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

The estimated future amortization expenses for intangible assets (excluding intangible assets in-progress) are summarized below (in thousands):

2013	\$	1,496
2014		906
2015		625
2016		199
Total estimated future amortization expense	\$	3,226

Amortization expense was \$1.5 million , \$0.7 million and \$0.4 million for the year s ended December 31, 2012 , 2011 and 2010 , respectively.

12. Accrued and Other Current Liabilities

Accrued and other current liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Accrued payroll-related expenses	\$ 6,567	\$ 7,160
Accrued expenses	639	602
Total accrued liabilities	\$ 7,206	\$ 7,762
Patent and other assets purchased but not paid	\$ 1,100	\$ 250
Other current liabilities	713	1,932
Total other current liabilities	\$ 1,813	\$ 2,182

13. Deferred Payment Obligations

On July 6, 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to purchase certain patent assets for a total of \$4.4 million . Under the terms of the agreement, the Company paid \$1.1 million in cash at signing, with a remaining non-interest bearing contract obligation of \$3.3 million due in three equal installments in July of each of 2010, 2011 and 2012. The contract obligation was recorded at fair value utilizing the imputed interest rate method. Interest was imputed using a rate of 10.2% per annum, which represented the Company's estimated market borrowing rate as of the initial transaction date. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011 , the remaining unpaid principal balance associated with the obligation was nil and \$1.1 million , respectively.

On January 26, 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire certain patent assets for a total of \$12.0 million . Under the terms of the agreement, the Company paid \$3.0 million upfront, with a remaining non-interest bearing contract obligation of \$9.0 million due in three equal installments in January of each of 2010, 2011 and 2012. The contract obligation was recorded at fair value utilizing the imputed interest rate method. Interest was imputed using a rate of 13.9% per annum, which represented the Company's estimated market borrowing rate as of the date of the transaction. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011 , the remaining unpaid principal balance associated with the obligation was nil and \$3.0 million , respectively.

14. Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Lease Commitments

The Company leases its facilities under non-cancelable lease agreements. The Company's operating lease obligations have increased from those as of December 31, 2011 as a result of the following agreement entered into during the year ended December 31, 2012 .

In March 2012, the Company entered into an amended lease agreement related to its San Francisco, California office space. The amendment, which takes effect on May 1, 2013, will increase the rentable space to approximately 67,000 total square feet and extend the term through October 2019. The monthly base rent payments pursuant to this lease will initially be approximately \$0.3 million per month, increasing to approximately \$0.4 million per month.

Rent expense related to non-cancelable operating leases was \$2.4 million , \$1.7 million and \$0.7 million for the year s ended December 31, 2012 , 2011 and 2010 , respectively.

[Table of Contents](#)

The aggregate future non-cancelable minimum lease payments for the Company's operating leases as of December 31, 2012 are as follows:

2013	\$	2,733
2014		4,005
2015		4,072
2016		4,139
2017		4,206
Thereafter		7,886
Total future non-cancelable minimum lease payments	\$	<u>27,041</u>

Litigation

From time to time, the Company may be a party to various litigation claims in the normal course of business. Legal fees and other costs associated with such actions are expensed as incurred. The Company assesses, in conjunction with its legal counsel, the need to record a liability for litigation or contingencies. A liability is recorded when and if it is determined that such a liability for litigation or contingencies is both probable and reasonably estimable. No liability for litigation or contingencies was recorded as of December 31, 2012 or 2011 .

In February 2013, Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. ("Volkswagen") filed a third party complaint (the "Complaint") against the Company as part of an existing patent infringement lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas that was originally filed by Norman IP Holdings, LLC ("Norman") and in which Volkswagen is a defendant. The Complaint relates to a patent license and acquisition transaction that the Company entered with Norman and Saxon in January 2010. The claims allege that the Company, together with Norman and Saxon Innovations, LLC ("Saxon"), violated federal antitrust law and Texas antitrust law. Volkswagen seeks declaratory relief, unspecified monetary damages, and injunctive relief. Because the case is at a very early stage, the Company is not currently able to determine whether there is a reasonable possibility that a loss has been incurred nor can it estimate the range of the potential loss that may result from this litigation.

In March 2012, Cascades Computer Innovations LLC filed a lawsuit in U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (the "Court") against the Company and five of its clients (collectively the "Defendants"). The lawsuit alleges that the Defendants violated federal antitrust law, California antitrust law and California unfair competition law. The lawsuit claims that after the Company terminated its negotiations with the plaintiff to license certain patents held by the plaintiff, the Defendants violated the law by jointly refusing to negotiate or accept licenses under the plaintiff's patents. The plaintiff seeks unspecified monetary damages and injunctive relief. In January 2013, the Court dismissed the complaint against the Defendants and granted the plaintiff leave to amend its complaint. In February 2013, the plaintiff filed an amended lawsuit alleging that the Defendants violated federal antitrust law, California antitrust law and California unfair competition law. The Company is not currently able to determine whether there is a reasonable possibility that a loss has been incurred, nor can it estimate the potential loss or range of the potential loss that may result from this litigation.

Guarantees and Indemnifications

The Company has, in connection with the sale of patent assets, agreed to indemnify and hold harmless the buyer of such patent assets for losses resulting from breaches of representations and warranties made by the Company. The terms of these indemnification agreements are generally perpetual. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unlimited. To date, the Company has not paid any amount to settle claims or defend lawsuits. The Company is unable to reasonably estimate the maximum amount that could be payable under these arrangements since these obligations are not capped but are conditional to the unique facts and circumstances involved. Accordingly, the Company had no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of December 31, 2012 or 2011 . The Company has no reason to believe that there is any material liability related to such indemnification provisions. The Company does not indemnify its clients for patent infringement.

In accordance with its amended and restated bylaws, the Company also indemnifies certain officers and employees for losses incurred in connection with actions, suits or proceedings threatened or brought against such officer or employee arising from his or her service to the Company as an officer or employee, subject to certain limitations. The term of the indemnification period is indefinite. The maximum amount of potential future indemnification is unspecified. The Company has no reason to believe that there is any material liability for actions, events or occurrences that have occurred to date.

15. Stockholder' Equity

Common Stock

[Table of Contents](#)

As of December 31, 2012, under the Company's amended and restated certificate of incorporation, the Company is authorized to issue 200 million shares of common stock with par value of \$0.0001 per share.

In connection with the issuance of the Series A redeemable convertible preferred stock in August 2008, the Company entered into a common stock repurchase agreement with its founders. The Company issued 9,999,998 shares of common stock to the Company's founders in exchange for the assignment of intellectual property to the Company. The Company had the right to repurchase these shares of common stock upon the termination of a founder's service to the Company. The repurchase rights lapsed over a four-year period, 25% on the first anniversary from the issuance date and thereafter ratably each month over the ensuing 36-month period. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, nil and 1,666,666, respectively, of these shares were subject to repurchase. The value of common stock exchanged for the intellectual property was estimated to be \$1.5 million based on the then-applicable common stock value of \$0.145 per share. The assets received are recorded as intangible assets in the consolidated balance sheets and were being amortized over a four-year period.

Preferred Stock

As of December 31, 2012, under the Company's amended and restated certificate of incorporation the Company is authorized to issue 10 million shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$0.0001 per share. The Board of Directors is authorized to provide for the issuance of one or more series of preferred stock and to establish the powers, preferences and rights of the preferred shares.

Equity Plans

In February 2011, the Company's Board of Directors adopted the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan"), which became effective on the date of the Company's initial public offering. The 2011 Plan provides for the issuance of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted shares of the Company's common stock and stock units to employees, directors, and non-employees. The Board of Directors reserved 1,500,000 shares of common stock for future issuance under the 2011 Plan. In March 2012, the Company reserved an additional 2,457,269 shares of common stock for future issuance under the 2011 Plan. As of December 31, 2012, there were 3,023,027 shares available for grant under the 2011 Plan.

In August 2008, the Company's Board of Directors adopted the 2008 Plan (the "2008 Plan") which provides for the issuance of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, as well as the direct award or sale of shares of common stock to employees, directors, and non-employees for up to 9,019,474 shares of common stock, as amended. No further awards have been made under the 2008 Plan; however, all awards outstanding under the 2008 Plan will continue to be governed by the existing terms.

Under both the 2011 Plan and 2008 Plan, incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options are to be granted at a price that is not less than 100% of the fair value of the stock at the date of grant. Stock options granted to newly hired employees vest 25% on the first anniversary of the date of hire and ratably each month over the ensuing 36-month period. Stock options granted to existing employees generally vest ratably over the 48-months following the date of grant. Stock options are exercisable for a maximum period of 10 years after date of grant. Incentive stock options granted to stockholders who own more than 10% of the outstanding stock of the Company at the time of grant must be issued at an exercise price not to be less than 110% of the fair value of the stock on the date of grant. Restricted stock units granted to newly hired employees vest 25% on the first Company-established vest date after the first anniversary of the employee's date of hire and ratably each quarter over the ensuing 12-quarter period. Restricted stock units granted to existing employees generally vest ratably each quarter over the 16 quarters following the date of grant.

A summary of the Company's activity under its equity award plans and related information is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	Options Outstanding				
	Shares Available for Grant	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Balance - December 31, 2011	1,004	7,503	\$ 6.82		
Options authorized	2,457	—	—		
Options granted	(664)	664	16.16		
Options exercised	—	(1,809)	1.78		
Options forfeited	648	(648)	12.59		
Restricted stock units granted	(486)	—	—		
Restricted stock units forfeited	64	—	—		
Balance - December 31, 2012	<u>3,023</u>	<u>5,710</u>	8.85	7.9	\$ 14,987
Vested and exercisable - December 31, 2012		<u>1,935</u>	8.24	7.6	6,865
Vested and expected to vest - December 31, 2012		<u>5,227</u>	8.80	7.9	14,123

The total intrinsic value for options exercised during the year s ended December 31, 2012 , 2011 and 2010 was \$23.8 million , \$19.0 million and \$0.6 million , respectively.

Restricted Stock Units

The summary of restricted stock unit activity is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Non-vested units - December 31, 2011	179	\$ 25.15	
Granted	486	15.72	
Vested	(130)	22.42	
Forfeited	(64)	17.13	
Non-vested units - December 31, 2012	<u>471</u>	17.27	\$ 8,149

Stock-Based Compensation Related to Employees and Non-Employee Directors

Stock-based compensation expense for employees and non-employee directors is based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award. The Company estimates the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Option valuation models, including the Black-Scholes option pricing model, require the input of various assumptions such as expected term, expected volatility and risk-free interest rates. Changes in the assumptions can materially affect the fair value and ultimately how much stock-based compensation expense is recognized. These inputs are subjective and generally require significant analysis and judgment to develop. For all stock options granted to date, the Company calculated the expected term using the SEC simplified method. The Company has limited information on its past volatility and has a limited operating history. Therefore, it has estimated the volatility data based on a study of publicly traded industry peer companies. For purposes of identifying these peer companies, the Company considered the industry, stage of development, size and financial leverage of potential comparable companies. The estimated forfeiture rate is derived primarily from our historical data, and the risk-free interest rate is based on the yield available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues similar in duration to the expected term of the Company's stock options.

The weighted-average assumptions used to estimate the fair value of stock options granted and the resulting fair values are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Dividend yield	—%	—%	—%
Risk-free rate	1.11%	1.44%	2.00%
Expected volatility	61%	59%	59%
Expected term (in years)	6.0	6.4	6.2
Grant date fair value	\$ 9.02	\$ 7.81	\$ 3.06

The fair value of restricted stock units granted to employees and non-employee directors is measured by reference to the fair value of the underlying shares on the date of grant.

Stock-based compensation expense related to stock options granted to employees and non-employee directors was \$7.9 million , \$5.5 million and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 , 2011 and 2010 , respectively. Stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock units granted to employees and non-employee directors was \$2.0 million , \$0.4 million and nil for the years ended December 31, 2012 , 2011 and 2010 , respectively.

As of December 31, 2012 , there was \$19.8 million and \$7.4 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options and restricted stock units, respectively, which was expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.7 years and 3.0 years , respectively. Future grants of equity awards will increase the amount of stock-based compensation expense to be recorded.

Stock-Based Compensation Related to Non-Employees

The Company periodically grants equity awards to non-employees in exchange for goods and services. During the year ended December 31, 2011 , the Company issued 10,000 shares of common stock, 62,632 restricted stock units, and 75,000 stock options to non-employees in exchange for services. No awards were granted to non-employees in exchange for goods and services during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2010 .

Stock-based compensation expense for non-employees is based on the fair value of the award on the measurement date, which is the earlier of the date by which the commitment for performance by the non-employee to earn the award is reached and the date on which the non-employee's performance is complete. Each reporting period, the fair value of the unvested non-employee options or restricted stock units is revalued until the awards vest on the measurement date.

Non-employee stock-based compensation expense related to stock options was \$0.3 million , \$0.9 million and \$0.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 , 2011 and 2010 , respectively. Non-employee stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock units was \$0.3 million , \$0.2 million and nil for the years ended December 31, 2012 , 2011 and 2010 , respectively.

16. Income Taxes

Income before income tax provision consists of the following (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Domestic	\$ 61,983	\$ 45,256	\$ 23,973
International	86	101	82
Total income before income tax provision	\$ 62,069	\$ 45,357	\$ 24,055

The components of the provision for income taxes are as follows (in thousands):

[Table of Contents](#)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Current			
Federal	\$ (17,525)	\$ (5,603)	\$ —
State	(643)	(421)	(268)
Foreign	(3,737)	(4,576)	(2,859)
Total current	<u>(21,905)</u>	<u>(10,600)</u>	<u>(3,127)</u>
Deferred			
Federal	(450)	(6,555)	(5,825)
State	(757)	930	(1,232)
Total deferred	<u>(1,207)</u>	<u>(5,625)</u>	<u>(7,057)</u>
Total provision for income taxes	<u>\$ (23,112)</u>	<u>\$ (16,225)</u>	<u>\$ (10,184)</u>

Net deferred tax assets (liabilities) consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
Current deferred tax assets:		
Deferred revenue	\$ 4,241	\$ 3,183
Reserves and other	285	268
Stock-based compensation	3,132	1,606
Other tax credits	—	13
Net operating losses	—	122
Total current deferred tax assets	<u>\$ 7,658</u>	<u>\$ 5,192</u>
Non-current deferred tax assets (liabilities):		
Depreciation and amortization	\$ (18,708)	\$ (14,695)
Net operating losses	—	300
Reserves and other	600	—
Total non-current net deferred tax liabilities	<u>\$ (18,108)</u>	<u>\$ (14,395)</u>

The following is a reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax to the Company's effective tax (in thousands):

	Year ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Tax at statutory federal rate	\$ (21,721)	\$ (15,875)	\$ (8,420)
State tax – net of federal benefit	(928)	(176)	(1,345)
Permanent differences	(644)	(341)	(398)
Foreign tax	(3,737)	(4,576)	(2,858)
Foreign tax credits	3,652	4,555	2,837
Foreign income not taxed at Federal rate	30	36	—
Other	236	152	—
Total provision for income taxes	<u>\$ (23,112)</u>	<u>\$ (16,225)</u>	<u>\$ (10,184)</u>

In assessing the realization of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more-likely-than-not that some portion or all of deferred assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of the deferred tax asset is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Based on the available objective evidence as of December 31, 2012, management believes it is more-likely-than-not that the U.S. net deferred tax asset will be fully realized. Accordingly, management has not applied a valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had state net operating loss carryforwards of \$5.1 million available to reduce future taxable income, which will begin to expire in 2031.

Internal Revenue Code Section 382 places a limitation (the Section 382 Limitation) on the amount of taxable income that can be offset by

corporation. California has similar rules. Generally, after a change in control, a loss corporation cannot deduct operating loss carryforwards in excess of the Section 382 Limitation. Management has considered the impact of such limitation in determining the utilization of its operating loss carryforwards against taxable income in future periods.

Deferred tax liabilities have not been recognized for undistributed earnings for foreign subsidiaries because it is management's intention to permanently reinvest such undistributed earnings outside the U. S. Generally, such earnings are subject to potential foreign withholding tax and the U.S. tax upon remittance of dividends and under certain other circumstances. The amount of deferred tax liability for temporary differences related to investments in these non-U.S. subsidiaries are considered immaterial to the consolidated financial statements.

Uncertain Tax Positions

As of December 31, 2012, the Company's total amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$1.1 million, all of which would impact the Company's effective tax rate, if recognized.

The following table summarizes the activity related to the Company's unrecognized tax benefits (in thousands):

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Balance as of January 1,	\$ 369	\$ —
Gross increase related to current period tax positions	767	369
Balance as of December 31,	<u>\$ 1,136</u>	<u>\$ 369</u>

The Company does not believe it is reasonably possible that its unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change within the next twelve months.

The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties accrued on any unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized \$0.1 million and nil respectively, of interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the State of California Franchise Tax Board completed its examination of the Company's California income tax returns for the 2009 and 2008 tax years. The examination did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. During the first quarter of 2012, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") completed its examination of the Company's employment taxes for the 2010 and 2009 tax years and federal income tax returns for the 2009 and 2008 tax years. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2011, the IRS issued a Notice of Proposed Adjustments for the 2008 and 2009 tax years with proposed adjustments and no assessment. The Company has agreed to the adjustments which have been approved by the IRS. The adjustments did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The 2008 through 2012 tax periods remain open to examination by the federal and most state tax authorities. For the Company's foreign jurisdictions, the 2009 through 2012 tax years remain open to examination by their respective tax authorities.

17. Related-Party Transactions

During the year ended December 31, 2012, two members of the Company's Board of Directors also served on the boards of directors of RPX clients. The Company recognized subscription fee revenue of \$3.6 million related to these clients. During the year ended December 31, 2011, one member of the Company's Board of Directors also served on the board of directors of an RPX client. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recognized subscription fee revenue of \$2.6 million related to this client. During the year ended December 31, 2010, two members of the Company's Board of Directors also served on the boards of directors of RPX clients. The Company recognized subscription fee revenue of \$2.7 million related to these clients. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011 there were no receivables due from these clients.

In April 2011, the Company sold an aggregate of 105,708 shares of its common stock at a price of \$14.19 per share to two members of its Board of Directors.

18. Segment Reporting

Operating segments are components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available. Operating segments are reported in a manner consistent with the internal reporting provided to the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company's chief operating decision maker is its Chief Executive Officer. The Company's Chief Executive Office reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis and, as a result, the Company concluded that there is only one operating and reportable segment.

The Company markets its solution to companies around the world. Revenue is generally attributed to geographic areas based on the country in which the client is domiciled.

[Table of Contents](#)

The following table presents revenue by location and revenue generated by country as a percentage of total revenue for the applicable period, for countries representing 10% or more of revenues for the periods presented (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2012		2011		2010	
United States	\$ 111,419	56%	\$ 91,593	59%	\$ 52,753	56%
Japan	40,484	20	27,595	18	16,955	18%
Other	45,785	24	34,856	23	25,166	26%
Total revenue	\$ 197,688	100%	\$ 154,044	100%	\$ 94,874	100%

Long-lived assets information by location is presented below (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
United States	\$ 3,116	\$ 2,268
Japan	28	49
Total long-lived assets	\$ 3,144	\$ 2,317

19. Selected Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

Summarized quarterly financial information for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended			
	March 31, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2012
Revenue	\$ 43,849	\$ 55,238	\$ 47,044	\$ 51,557
Cost of revenue	18,017	20,511	21,980	21,815
Selling, general and administrative expenses	13,223	13,533	13,147	13,687
(Gain) on sale of patent assets	(177)	—	—	—
Operating income	12,786	21,194	11,917	16,055
Other income (expense), net	(20)	47	65	25
Income before provision for income taxes	12,766	21,241	11,982	16,080
Provision for income taxes	4,685	8,053	4,392	5,982
Net income	\$ 8,081	\$ 13,188	\$ 7,590	\$ 10,098
Net income available to common stockholders:				
Basic	\$ 7,840	\$ 12,976	\$ 7,556	\$ 10,080
Diluted	\$ 7,853	\$ 12,985	\$ 7,557	\$ 10,080
Net income per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.20
Diluted	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.19
Other Data:				
Deferred revenue, including current portion	\$ 105,801	\$ 105,980	\$ 98,687	\$ 104,371
Stock-based compensation expense	\$ 2,491	\$ 2,521	\$ 2,481	\$ 2,841

	Three months ended			
	March 31, 2011	June 30, 2011	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2011
Revenue	\$ 34,390	\$ 38,850	\$ 38,394	\$ 42,410
Cost of revenue	13,665	14,528	16,459	22,719
Selling, general and administrative expenses	8,110	11,286	9,069	12,128
Operating income	12,615	13,036	12,866	7,563
Other income (expense), net	(373)	(193)	(79)	(78)
Income before provision for income taxes	12,242	12,843	12,787	7,485
Provision for income taxes	5,547	5,177	4,935	566
Net income	\$ 6,695	\$ 7,666	\$ 7,852	\$ 6,919
Net income available to common stockholders:				
Basic	\$ 1,046	\$ 4,714	\$ 7,381	\$ 6,615
Diluted	\$ 1,249	\$ 4,924	\$ 7,421	\$ 6,633
Net income available to common stockholders:				
Basic	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.14
Diluted	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.13
Other Data:				
Deferred revenue, including current portion	\$ 92,763	\$ 87,574	\$ 97,535	\$ 108,275
Stock-based compensation expense	\$ 1,048	\$ 1,888	\$ 1,913	\$ 2,147

Item 9 Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None

Item 9A Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains a set of disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, were effective to provide reasonable assurance.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 based on the guidelines established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Based on the results of our evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012 .

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report which is included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of a simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Item 9B Other Information

None

PART III.

Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer. The Code of Business Conduct is posted on our Web site at <http://ir.rpxcorp.com/governance.cfm>.

We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of this Code of Business Conduct by posting such information on our Web site, at the address and location specified above and, to the extent required by the listing standards of The NASDAQ Stock Market, by filing a Current Report on Form 8-K with the SEC, disclosing such information.

The other information required by this item will be contained in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the SEC in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders (the "Proxy Statement"), which we expect to file within 120 days of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11 Executive Compensation

The information regarding executive compensation required by this item will be included under the caption "Compensation of Executive Officers" in the 2013 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information regarding securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans required by this item will be included under the caption "Equity Compensation Plan Information" in the 2013 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference. The information regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management required by this item will be included under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the 2013 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information regarding transactions with related persons required by this item is included under the caption "Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons" in the 2013 Proxy Statement and will be incorporated herein by reference. The information regarding director independence required by this item is included under the caption "Corporate Governance" in the 2013 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14 Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this item will be incorporated under the captions "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm's Fees" and "Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures" in the 2013 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

1. Financial Statements

The financial statements as set forth under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are incorporated herein by reference.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules have been omitted because the information required to be set forth therein is not applicable or is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the accompanying Exhibit Index are filed or incorporated herein by reference as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints John A. Amster and Martin E. Roberts, jointly and severally, as his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-fact, or his substitute or substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ JOHN A. AMSTER</u> John A. Amster	Chief Executive Officer; Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 8, 2013
<u>/s/ ADAM C. SPIEGEL</u> Adam C. Spiegel	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 8, 2013
<u>/s/ GEOFFREY T. BARKER</u> Geoffrey T. Barker	Executive Director	March 8, 2013
<u>/s/ IZHAR ARMONY</u> Izhar Armony	Director	March 8, 2013
<u>/s/ SHELBY W. BONNIE</u> Shelby W. Bonnie	Director	March 8, 2013
<u>/s/ STEVEN L. FINGERHOOD</u> Steven L. Fingerhood	Director	March 8, 2013
<u>/s/ RANDY KOMISAR</u> Randy Komisar	Director	March 8, 2013
<u>/s/ THOMAS O. RYDER</u> Thomas O. Ryder	Director	March 8, 2013
<u>/s/ SANFORD R. ROBERTSON</u> Sanford R. Robertson	Director	March 8, 2013
<u>/s/ GIUSEPPE ZOCCO</u> Giuseppe Zocco	Director	March 8, 2013

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Title	Incorporated by Reference				Provided Herewith
		Form	File No.	Exhibit No.	Filing Date	
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of RPX Corporation	S-1	333-171817	3.2	1/21/2011	
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of RPX Corporation	S-1/A	333-171817	3.4	4/18/2011	
4.1	Reference is made to Exhibits 3.1 and 3.2					
4.2	Form of Common Stock Certificate evidencing shares of common stock of the Registrant	S-1/A	333-171817	4.2	4/29/2011	
4.3	Amended and Restated Investors' Rights Agreement by and among the Registrant, John Amster, Geoffrey T. Barker, Eran Zur and the Investors (as defined therein), dated as of July 15, 2009	S-1	333-171817	4.3	1/21/2011	
4.4	Waiver and Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Investors' Rights Agreement, the Amended and Restated Voting Agreement and the Amended and Restated First Refusal and Co-Sale Agreement, dated as of November 12, 2010	S-1	333-171817	4.4	1/21/2011	
10.1	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Registrant and each officer and director	S-1	333-171817	10.1	1/21/2011	
10.2	Employment Offer Letter by and between the Registrant and John Amster, dated as of August 9, 2008	S-1	333-171817	10.2	1/21/2011	
10.3	Employment Offer Letter by and between the Registrant and Geoffrey Barker, dated as of August 10, 2008	S-1	333-171817	10.3	1/21/2011	
10.4	Employment Offer Letter by and between the Registrant and Henri Linde, dated as of October 8, 2008	S-1	333-171817	10.5	1/21/2011	
10.5	Revised Employment Offer Letter by and between the Registrant and Adam Spiegel, dated as of February 10, 2010	S-1	333-171817	10.6	1/21/2011	
10.6	Employment Offer Letter by and between the Registrant and Mallun Yen, dated as of October 25, 2010	S-1	333-171817	10.7	1/21/2011	
10.7	Director Offer Letter by and between the Registrant and Shelby Bonnie, dated as of January 29, 2011	S-1/A	333-171817	10.26	3/7/2011	
10.8	Director Offer Letter by and between the Registrant and Sanford R. Robertson, dated as of March 2, 2011	S-1/A	333-171817	10.28	4/18/2011	
10.9	2008 Stock Plan, as amended	S-1	333-171817	10.8	1/21/2011	
10.10	Form of Notice of Stock Option Grant (Early Exercise) and Stock Option Agreement under 2008 Stock Plan	S-1	333-171817	10.9	1/21/2011	
10.11	Form of Notice of Stock Option Grant and Stock Option Agreement under 2008 Stock Plan	S-1	333-171817	10.1	1/21/2011	
10.12	Form of Notice of Stock Option Exercise (Early Exercise) under 2008 Stock Plan	S-1	333-171817	10.11	1/21/2011	
10.13	Form of Notice of Stock Option Exercise under 2008 Stock Plan	S-1	333-171817	10.12	1/21/2011	
10.14	2011 Equity Incentive Plan	S-1/A	333-171817	10.25	3/7/2011	

10.15	Form of Notice of Stock Option Grant and Stock Option Agreement under 2011 Equity Incentive Plan	S-1/A	333-171817	10.32	4/29/2011
10.16	Form of Notice of Stock Option Grant (Non-Employee Directors) and Stock Option Agreement (Non-Employee Directors) under 2011 Equity Incentive Plan	S-1/A	333-171817	10.33	4/29/2011
10.17	Form of Notice of Stock Unit Award and Stock Unit Agreement under 2011 Equity Incentive Plan	S-1/A	333-171817	10.34	4/29/2011
10.18	Compensation Program for Non-Employee Directors	S-1/A	333-171817	10.27	3/7/2011
10.19	Reference is made to Exhibit 4.3				
10.20	Reference is made to Exhibit 4.4				
10.21	Common Stock Purchase Agreement by and among the Registrant and the Investors (as defined therein), dated as of April 7, 2011	S-1/A	333-171817	10.29	4/18/2011
10.22	Form of Lock-Up Agreement, entered into by MHV Partners LLC and Sanford Robertson	S-1/A	333-171817	10.30	4/18/2011

Table of Contents

10.23	Sublease by and between Registrant and Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold LLP, dated as of September 29, 2009	S-1	333-171817	10.23	1/21/2011	
10.24	Office Lease Agreement between Registrant and PPF Paramount One Market Plaza Owner, L.P., dated as of July 28, 2010	S-1	333-171817	10.24	1/21/2011	
10.25	Office Lease Agreement between Registrant and PPF Paramount One Market Plaza Owner, L.P., dated as of March 9, 2012	10-K	001-35146	10.31	3/26/2012	
10.26	Employment Offer Letter by and Between the Registrant and Ned Segal, dated as of February 7, 2013	8-K	001-35146	10.1	2/12/2013	
21.1	List of subsidiaries of the Registrant	S-1	333-171817	10.23	1/21/2011	
23.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm					X
24.1	Power of Attorney (Included in Signature Page)					X
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
101.INS+	XBRL Instance Document					X
101.SCH+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document					X
101.CAL+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document					X
101.DEF+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document					X
101.LAB+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document					X
101.PRE+	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document					X

+ XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-173911 and 333-180357) of RPX Corporation of our report dated March 8, 2013 relating to the consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Form 10-K.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

San Jose, California

March 8, 2013

CERTIFICATIONS

I, John A. Amster, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of RPX Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 8, 2013

/s/ JOHN A. AMSTER

John A. Amster

Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Adam C. Spiegel, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of RPX Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's Board of Directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 8, 2013

/s/ ADAM C. SPIEGEL

Adam C. Spiegel

Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice
President, Finance

(Principal Financial Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 of RPX Corporation, (the “Company”) as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, John A. Amster, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: March 8, 2013

/s/ JOHN A. AMSTER

John A. Amster

Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 of RPX Corporation (the "Company") as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Adam C. Spiegel, Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President, Finance of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: March 8, 2013

/s/ ADAM C. SPIEGEL

Adam C. Spiegel

Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice
President, Finance

(Principal Financial Officer)